

Ceci est la version pré-publication, révisée par les pairs, de l'article suivant :

Bakhiyi, B., Gravel, S., Ceballos, D., Flynn, M. A. et Zayed, J. (2018). Has the question of e-waste opened a Pandora's box? An overview of unpredictable issues and challenges. *Environment International, 110*, 173-192.

La version finale de l'article est disponible à [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.10.021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.10.021)

Cet article peut être utilisé à des fins non commerciales.

Avis : L'IRSST encourage son personnel scientifique et tout chercheur dont il finance en tout ou en partie les travaux ou qui bénéficie de son programme de bourses à faire en sorte que les articles issus de ces travaux soient librement accessibles au plus tard un an après leur publication dans une revue savante.

<https://www.irsst.qc.ca/Portals/0/upload/5-institut/politiques/Libre-acces.pdf>

communications@irsst.qc.ca

This is the accepted manuscript peer reviewed version of the following article:

Bakhiyi, B., Gravel, S., Ceballos, D., Flynn, M. A., & Zayed, J. (2018). Has the question of ewaste opened a Pandora's box? An overview of unpredictable issues and challenges. *Environment International, 110*, 173-192.

It is available in its final form at [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.10.021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.10.021)

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes.

Disclaimer: The Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail (IRSST) encourages its scientific staff and all researchers whose work it funds either in whole or in part or who benefit from its scholarship program to ensure that the articles resulting from their work be made publicly accessible within one year of their publication in a scholarly journal.

<https://www.irsst.qc.ca/Portals/0/upload/5-institut/politiques/Open-access.pdf>

communications@irsst.qc.ca

Has the question of e-waste opened a Pandora's box? An overview of unpredictable issues and challenges

- 3 Bouchra Bakhiyi^a, Sabrina Gravel^{a, b}, Diana Ceballos^c, Michael A. Flynn^d, Joseph Zayed^{a, b}
- ^a Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, School of Public Health, Université de Montréal, Montreal, Quebec,
- 5 Canada
6 ^bInstitute
- ^b Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail (IRSST), Montreal, Quebec, Canada
- ^c Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA
- ^d National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH, USA

Keywords:

- E-waste management, chemical contaminants, electronic recycling, legacy contamination, human health,
- occupational health

Corresponding author:

- Joseph Zayed, Ph.D.
- Adjunct Professor
- Department of Environmental and Occupational Health
- School of Public Health
- University of Montreal
- P.O. Box 6128, Main Station
- Montreal, Quebec
- Canada, H3C 3J7
- Telephone: +1 514 343 5912
- Fax: +1 514 343 2200
- Email: joseph.zayed@umontreal.ca

Abstract

 Despite regulatory efforts and position papers, electrical and electronic waste (e-waste) remains ill- managed as evidenced by the extremely low rates of proper e-waste recycling (e-recycling) worldwide, ongoing illegal shipments to developing countries and constantly reported human health issues and environmental pollution. This review aims to expose the complexity of e-waste problems and suggest possible upstream and downstream solutions. Exploring e-waste issues is akin to opening a Pandora's Box. Thus, a review of prevailing e-waste management practices reveals complex and often intertwined gaps, issues and challenges. These include the absence of any consistent definition of e-waste to date, a prevalent toxic potential still involving already banned or restricted hazardous components such as heavy metals and persistent and bioaccumulative organic compounds, a relentless growth in e-waste volume fueled by planned obsolescence and unsustainable consumption, problematic e-recycling processes, a fragile formal e-recycling sector, sustained and more harmful informal e-recycling practices, and more convoluted and unpredictable patterns of illegal e-waste trade. A close examination of the e-waste legacy contamination exposes critical human health concerns, including significant occupational exposure during both formal and informal e-recycling, and persistent environmental contamination, particularly in some developing countries. However, novel e-waste contaminants as well as unexpected sources and environmental fates of contaminants are among the emerging issues that raise concerns. Moreover, scientific knowledge gaps remain regarding the complexity and magnitude of the e-waste legacy contamination, specifically, a comprehensive characterization of e-waste contaminants, information on the scale of legacy contamination in developing countries and on the potential environmental damage in developed countries, and a stronger body of evidence of adverse health effects specifically ascribed to e-

 waste contaminants. However, the knowledge accumulated to date is sufficient to raise awareness and concern among all stakeholders. Potential solutions to curb e-waste issues should be addressed comprehensively, by focusing on two fronts: at the upstream and downstream levels. Potential upstream solutions should focus on more rational and eco-oriented consumer habits in order to decrease e-waste quantities while fostering ethical and sustained commitments from manufacturers, which include a limited usage of hazardous compounds and an optimal increase in e-waste recyclability. While progressively reducing illegal e-waste trade, downstream solutions should introduce stronger reverse logistics, together with upgraded, more affordable, and eco-friendly and worker friendly e-recycling technologies to ensure that benefits are derived fully and safely from the great economic potential of e-waste.

Contents

X

1. Introduction

 Electronic waste (e-waste), or waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE), among other terms are terms used to cover electrical and electronic equipment discarded as waste without intent of reuse (Step, 2014). E-waste offers particularly high potential for recovery as it contains valuable recyclable components such as gold, platinum and silver. However, e-waste also contains non-negligible amounts of potentially toxic substances (e.g., cadmium and lead) and is thus considered hazardous when improperly managed. These findings highlight the need for the safe and smart management (including proper recycling) and commercial exploitation of e-waste while preserving human health and environmental integrity, given the large volume of e-waste generated worldwide annually (41.8 million metric tonnes (MMT) estimated in 2014) and its fast growth (Lundgren, 2012; Kiddee et al., 2013; Cucchiella et al., 2016; Step, 2016, Baldé et al., 2015). Canada, which generated an estimated 725,000 tonnes of e-waste in 2014, is well below the 2014 top five e-waste global generators, which were the United States (7.1 MMT), China (6.0 MMT), Japan (2.2 MMT), Germany (1.8 MMT) and India (I.7 MMT). However, with 20.4 kg of e-waste generated annually per inhabitant, Canada remains one of the highest contributors to e-waste volume in relative quantities in the Americas, right between the United States (22.1 kg/inh.) and the Bahamas (19.1 kg/inh.) (Baldé et al., 2015).

 This review aims to expose the complexity of e-waste problems**.** Its objectives are (a) to provide an brief overview of the historical aspects of e-waste management; (b) to identify gaps, issues and challenges that greatly complicate e-waste management; (c) to gain insight into the current e-waste legacy contamination in terms of critical, emerging or still-unknown human health issues (including occupational health

 concerns) and environmental contamination and (d) to propose solutions that could potentially curb e-waste issues both upstream and downstream.

2. Background: a bird's-eye view of the history of e-waste management

 In the 1970s and 1980s, hazardous waste, including e-waste, was commonly shipped from industrialized countries to less developed nations in Asia, Africa, Central America and Eastern Europe (UNEP, 2010). The hazardous waste trade is rooted in the "Not in My Back Yard" syndrome in developed countries, an expression of the public's vehement stand against poor management of hazardous waste, including e- waste. Since the 1970s, it has led to the adoption of more stringent laws in the developed countries, such as The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) in the United States in 1976 (UNEP, 2010), which resulted in escalating the costs of hazardous waste disposal, while these costs remained low in less developed countries (Massari and Monzini, 2006; Andrews, 2009; UNEP, 2010).

 E-waste trading led to heavy environmental contamination in receiving countries, where primitive recycling methods, incineration and landfilling of hazardous waste were widely practiced, supported by inadequate environmental awareness, controls and regulations (UNEP, 2010). To fight what was called the "toxic trade," the Basel Convention on the Control of the Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal was adopted in 1989 and came into force in 1992. The aim of this international treaty is to regulate the export of hazardous waste from industrialized countries (called "Annex VII countries" and composed of parties to the Basel Convention that are members of the Organization of the Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) or the European Union (EU), as well as Liechtenstein) to less developed and vulnerable nations (called "non-Annex VII countries" and composed of all other

 parties to the Basel Convention). The fundamental purpose of the Basel Convention is to promote safe and sound hazardous waste management in order to safeguard human health and the environment. Its main objectives also include the limitation of hazardous waste generation and the restriction of hazardous waste exports unless the receiving country has confirmed the existence of environmentally sound practices for managing the imported waste (Andrews, 2009; Ahmad Khan, 2016).

 Amendments to the Basel Convention, known as the Basel Ban or the Ban, were adopted in 1995 and 1997 to completely prohibit the export of hazardous wastes from Annex VII countries to non-Annex VII countries, while Annex VIII was added in 1998 to include e-waste. However, Annex IX, also added in 1998, still allows the export of certain categories of e-waste for strict reuse, for the purpose of giving the receiving parties access to the digital world through second-hand equipment (Basel Convention, 2011; Ahmad Khan, 2016).

 A series of regulations and policies have since emerged worldwide at the regional, national and global levels to promote reuse and proper recycling, as well as a reduction in the use of toxic raw materials. For example, in 2003, the European Union adopted significant regulations such as the WEEE Directive, which sought to enhance e-waste collection, reuse and recycling, and the Restriction of the Use of Certain Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment (RoHS) Directive, requiring substitutions for or the limitation of certain toxic substances, including heavy metals and flame retardants (European Commission, 2017).

 On a global scale, the Nairobi Declaration on the Environmentally Sound Management of Electrical and Electronic Waste was launched in 2006 (Lundgren, 2012). A multi-stakeholder partnership known as the

 Solving the E-waste Problem (StEP) Initiative, involving United Nations agencies as well as academic and governmental organizations, among others, was instituted in 2007 to achieve more sustainable e-waste management through an international information-sharing platform (Sthiannopkao and Wong, 2013). An entire battery of tools has also been developed and adopted over time in developed and some developing countries to support the safe and optimal handling of the e-waste stream: Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR), Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), Material Flow Analysis (MFA), Multi-Criteria 132 Analysis (MCA) (Kiddee et al., 2013).

 Unfortunately, despite regulatory efforts and position papers, e-waste is still ill-managed, with the proper e-waste recycling (e-recycling) rate remaining extremely low worldwide, at roughly 15.5% of the global volume generated in 2014 (Baldé et al., 2015). Even more alarming is the fact that illegal shipments to more vulnerable countries continue to abound, as disclosed by a report of the Interpol Pollution Crime Working Group (Interpol, 2009).

 Through a 2014-2016 investigation, a US environmental watchdog group called the Basel Action Network (BAN) unveiled the continuing traffic of e-waste from the US to developing countries (mostly China) and involving computer manufacturers, certified recycling companies and at least one major charity organization. More than 90% of e-waste was actually exported illegally under the guise of second-hand equipment (Hopson and Puckett, 2016). Following the BAN report, the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) strongly condemned such illegal activities and levied a severe fine on of the US electronic recyclers involved (US EPA, 2016a; WDE, 2016).

 Given the increasing volume of e-waste being generated worldwide, it can be assumed that illegal shipments to the developing world have been rising steadily since the Basel Convention, leading to a globalization of e-waste issues. About 50-80% of the e-waste generated in developed countries is considered to be illegally exported to low- and middle-income countries (Ghosh et al., 2016; Someya et al., 2016). China still receives the lion's share of all illegal e-waste, although countries such as the Philippines, India, Nigeria and Ghana remain attractive destinations. It is worth mentioning that most of the receiving countries have nonetheless ratified the Basel Convention and the Basel Ban (Rucevska et al., 2015; Hopson and Puckett, 2016; Terazono et al., 2017). It is estimated that between 1.5 and 2 MMT of e-waste are exported illegally to China from the European Union each year, despite the import ban imposed by China on all e-waste in 2000 (Huisman et al, 2015; Geeraerts et al., 2016). Moreover, a recent survey conducted in Hong Kong revealed that some traders do not hesitate to "re-export" 90-100% of the used televisions shipped from Japan to China (Sugimura and Murakami, 2016).

 Human health risks and environmental damage, particularly when related to unsafe e-waste handling and processing in developing countries, have therefore raised substantial concerns in the scientific community. Through a systematic review, some authors have found what they consider to be plausible detrimental effects associated with exposure to e-waste contaminants. The vulnerable populations identified include not only workers, but also children and pregnant women living within or in the vicinity of unregulated recycling sites. E-waste has therefore become an emerging health risk for critically exposed populations in developing countries (Chen et al., 2011; Grant et al., 2013). This finding is attributed in particular to the relentless growth in e-waste and the ensuing and constantly reported environmental contamination with persistent and bioaccumulative organic pollutants, including flame retardants (Song and Li, 2015;

 Awasthi et al., 2016). Occupational health and safety issues related to both the proper recycling sector and unregulated e-waste activities are now subjected to greater scientific scrutiny. However, many aspects of the potential occupational exposure remain unknown (Ceballos and Dong, 2016; Tue et al., 2016a).

3. Ongoing gaps, issues and challenges in e-waste management

 For e-waste management to be respectful of the environment and of human health, it has to be properly and effectively handled. Effective e-waste management first consists of collecting and sorting e-waste, repairing and reusing it whenever possible. Then, end-of-life e-waste is processed to remove and decontaminate all potentially toxic compounds, to properly recover valuable materials, and finally, to safely dispose of toxic parts and non-recyclable residuals (Namias, 2013; Baldé et al, 2015; Step, 2016). Unfortunately, e-waste management is currently facing significant, varied and complex gaps, issues and challenges that are often intertwined and that make e-waste problems so arduous to resolve.

 One of the most critical gaps is the absence of a consistent definition of e-waste, which makes it even harder to precisely assess the massive volume generated worldwide and the dynamics of the current illegal e-waste trade, which in turn rank among the main e-waste issues (Lepawsky, 2015; Ahmad Khan, 2016). The evolving nature of e-waste, attributed among others to technological progress, challenges the creation of a harmonized and definitive list of end-of-life electrical and electronic products (Ahmad Khan, 2016; Magalini, 2016). Hence, the WEEE Directive was amended in 2012 to include photovoltaic panels (European Commission, 2017).

 The relentless manufacture of new electrical and electronic products (referred to as e-products in this paper), and the continuous growth of e-waste volume is also in itself a considerable issue. With an

 estimated annual growth rate of 4-5%, a global production of close to 50 MMT is predicted for 2018 (Baldé et al., 2015), which is plausible given that more than 56 MMT of e-products came on the market in 2012 (Honda et al., 2016). For China alone, Zeng Xianlai et al. (2016) suggested an annual growth of 25.7%, which could generate 15.5 MMT of e-waste in 2020, and almost double in 2030. This continuous growth is mainly fueled by planned obsolescence which refers to the continuing decrease in the lifetime of e-products as a result of rapid technological updates, coupled with a drastic reduction in retail prices, and strongly backed by marketing and advertising. E-products are quickly perceived as outdated, and undergo premature equipment failure (Pickren, 2015; Echegaray, 2016; LeBel, 2016; Zeng et al., 2017). This is particularly so for small electronic devices such as personal computers (PC), whose lifespan shortened from 4-6 years in 1997 to two years in 2005 (Lundgren, 2012), and cellular phones, whose lifespan collapsed in the United States from approximately 30 months in 1995 to less than 20 months in 2005 (Ryneal, 2016).

 More often than not, defective or damaged e-products tend to be replaced rather than repaired, further weakening e-products recovery and repair sector. This is in part due to limited public interest, technological obsolescence leading to the unavailability of spare parts, unsuitable tools, predominance of self-learning among repairers, time-consuming tasks during the repair process, high labor costs, and lack of strong initiative and effective logistics for testing and repairing defective items (Echegaray, 2016; Sabbaghi et al., 2017). The design itself of e-products discourages their repair as their components are hastily assembled and securely attached (e.g., glued, bolted, or soldered) and thus repair becomes extremely difficult and time-consuming, if possible at all (Lundgren, 2012; Pickren, 2015; Sabbaghi et al., 2017). Obsolescence of e-products can however also be subjective or psychological, leading to

 unsustainable consumption of e-products. Echegaray (2016) defined subjective obsolescence as a consequence of "*the subjective devaluation of product perception based on learned experience, emotional attachments or benefits, status achievement, fashion, or esthetic quality*." The author found that consumers adopt throwaway practices with ease, replacing e-products because of new technology appeal, aesthetics or changing fashions, rather than declining performances or technical failure. This frenetic consumerism may also be stimulated by a growth in buying power, as shown by Kumar et al. (2017) who identified a positive correlation between the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and e-waste generation in any country in the world, regardless of the number of inhabitants.

 Not only do we witness the growth of e-waste volume, but the process of calculating global e-waste volume also faces challenges due to the aforementioned absence of a clear e-waste definition, the extreme scarcity and inefficient collection of official data, the scanty data on both legal and illegal e-waste trans- boundaries and the lack of awareness among stakeholders (Honda et al., 2016; Morris and Metternicht, 2016; Petridis et al., 2016; Tran et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2017). These data remain fundamental for receiving countries, as e-waste volume is generated not only by locally purchased e-products but also by imported second-hand equipment (referred to as the "*invisible inflow*").

 The dearth of reliable data, combined with an increased e-waste volume, give rise to unpredictable and convoluted patterns of illegal e-waste trade. Geographies and the dynamics of e-waste trafficking have turned it into a more complex web. For instance, despite the official ban on e-waste imports, several Asian countries exchange e-waste between themselves, and some countries such as Ghana and Nigeria are still the main receivers of exports not only from Israel, Belgium, the United States and Canada but also from Singapore and India (Lepawsky, 2015; Lines et al., 2016; Someya et al., 2016). This could be in part

 attributed to some gaps, limitations and contradictions that can be highlighted in the Basel Convention. Notably, the coming into force of the Basel Ban is still delayed, as only 89 countries have ratified it so far (Basel Convention, 2017) while 90 ratifications are required (Ahmad Khan, 2016). Major e-waste producing countries like the United States have not yet ratified the Basel Convention itself, although the US was among the first signatories (Bradford, 2011; Ahmad Khan, 2016). In addition, by allowing e- waste exportation for strict reuse, Annex IX has provided fertile ground for the illegal trade through re- categorization of non-functional or nearly-out-of-date e-waste. False custom declarations are known to be common, disguising hazardous e-waste as used e-products for the second-hand market, plastic or metal scrap (Ahmad Khan, 2016; Geeraerts et al., 2016; Milovantseva and Fitzpatrick, 2016). There are also certain gaps in the customs rules of several countries, including a shortage of international information sharing, human resources, training and logistics to check each container received and to distinguish between still-functional goods and actual waste. Moreover, hazardous waste, non-hazardous waste and non-waste (used and new goods) often have identical six-digit-codes in the Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System (HS) used by customs services across the globe (Ahmad Khan, 2016, Huisman et al., 2015; Geeraerts et al., 2016; Grant and Oteng-Ababio, 2016).

 Another pivotal issue in e-waste management is the inherent difficulties of the e-recycling processes. Despite some significant improvements, metal recovery technologies are still underperforming (e.g., difficulties in recycling single valuable metals), immature and/or polluting (Zhang and Xu, 2016). Some e-waste components such as printed circuit boards, CRT monitors and LCD screens also remain extremely hard to recycle mainly due to their complexity, their hazardous nature and inefficient recycling technologies, so much so that many recyclers simply refuse to process them (Edwards, 2016; Singh et al,

249 2016). The same can be noticed with e-products of a more compact design, for which recycling call for a state-of-the-art, costly, and labour- and energy-intensive processes to safely segregate and optimally recover materials. However, few facilities are equipped with the technical know-how required to end- process e-waste (Lundgren, 2012; Duan et al., 2016; D'Adamo et al., 2016; Zhang and Xu, 2016; Tansel, 2017).

 Perhaps the most important problem in terms of e-waste's impact on the environment and human health is the fact that numerous e-waste products still contain hazardous components that have already been banned or restricted in several countries. These include heavy metals, ozone-depleting substances and persistent organic pollutants (POPs) such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and brominated flame retardants (Baldé et al., 2015; Zheng Xiaobo et al., 2016; Matsukami et al, 2017). Thus, the global e-waste generated in 2014 was estimated to contain 2.2 MMT of leaded glass, 0.3 MMT of lead/lithium/cadmium/mercury-based batteries and 4,000 tons of ozone-depleting substances such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs) (Baldé et al., 2015).

 There have been efforts to substitute potentially toxic substances in the manufacturing process of e- products. For instance, flat screen monitors with liquid crystal displays (LCDs) have replaced leaded-glass CRT monitors. However, their recycling is also hazardous due to the presence of toxic chemicals such as Hg, As, Cr and Ba (US EPA, 2016b; Woo et al., 2016; Tansel, 2017). A similar issue is observed with the substitution of certain chemicals in the manufacturing process, like the replacement of PBDEs by halogen- free organophosphorus flame retardants, where the substituent is alas proving to be more persistent than predicted (Gramatica et al., 2016). The promising development of green electronics processes, such as the inclusion of biodegradable electronic components (e.g., cellulose-based printed circuit boards), which

 would reduce the toxic potential of e-waste, is still unfortunately fairly slow (Guna et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2016). Additionally, there are limitations in the provided information regarding the chemicals included in e-products, making the proper disposal of toxic waste even more challenging. Indeed, the publicly available and/or relevant data for recyclers is scarce, and there is a deficient chemical composition data disclosure between stakeholders involved in the e-product chain (e.g., chemical and formulation manufacturers, brand-name owners, recyclers) (Scruggs et al., 2016; US EPA, 2016b; Woo et al., 2016).

 Finally, the e-waste recycling industry often employs individuals from socially marginalized or vulnerable groups such as temporary workers, immigrants or prisoners (Ceballos and Dong, 2016). In developing countries, it is not uncommon to find children working in e-recycling (Robinson, 2009). For example, in Guiyu, China e-waste workers tend to be internal migrants, many of whom are minors (Sthiannopkao and Wong, 2013). An evaluation of an electronic scrap recycling facility in the United States found that roughly half of all employees were among immigrants (Page et al., 2015). Similarly, the United States Department of Justice (2010) investigated safety concerns of an electronic waste recycling program among inmates in Federal Prisons in the United States. Workers from marginalized social groups frequently have less economic security and their vulnerable social position often contributes additional barriers to safety and health at work which leads to increased risk for occupational injuries and illness and aggravates the safety challenges faced by the e-waste industry (Krieger, 2010; Flynn, 2014).

 All of these interconnected gaps, issues and challenges mentioned here render the formal e-recycling sector¹ more fragile. While most e-waste is handled in an informal manner in developing countries (Gu et

 Formal e-waste recycling refers to activities carried out in facilities that are usually licensed and/or under the control of some legal authority and that comply with environmental laws and regulations. (Fujimori et al., 2012; McCann and Wittmann, 2015; Ceballos and Dong, 2016).

 $\overline{}$

 al., 2016), formal e-recycling rates of the total e-waste remain very low in developed countries: 35% in the European Union in 2012 (Huisman et al., 2015), 29% in the United States in 2012 (Seeberger et al., 2016) and around 24 to 30% in Japan, 12% in Canada and roughly 1% in Australia for all e-waste generated in 2014 (Baldé et al., 2015). Formal e-recycling is usually seen as unprofitable due to the need for high investment, dependency on governmental subsidies and elevated labour costs, all of which are outweighed by underperforming material recovery and low profits (Lundgren 2012; Ryneal, 2016). There is also a lack of regulation, as well as challenging certification programs, limited implementation of various WEEE directives, and a great need of federal government involvement in compliance measures and financial support (Mohanty et al., 2015; Edwards, 2016; Morris and Metternicht, 2016). In addition, many deficiencies remain in official collection systems in developed countries, in part because of the lack of collection points, the financial costs associated with recycling and transportation, and even stealing activities (e.g., illegal traffic in e-waste) (Huisman et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015; McCann and Wittmann, 2015; Garrath et al., 2017). On top of that, massive e-waste quantities and the fast growth place a particular burden on collection logistics and proper e-recycling infrastructures (Tansel, 2017). In developing countries, informal collection systems are in most cases well-organized, offering more convenient door- to-door services at better prices than offered by formal collectors (Gu et al., 2016; Borthakur and Govind, 2017). Sustainability of informal e-recycling activities in developing countries can be explained by the fact that they provide convenient livelihood system through the business and job opportunities they create,

 $\overline{}$

By contrast, informal e-recycling is generally considered less appropriate as it is scantily regulated, is potentially more harmful, has a very limited investment capacity for final disposal and usually takes place in small-scale and scattered workshops or domestic backyards (McCann and Wittmann, 2015; Ceballos and Dong, 2016; Tao et al., 2016; Terazono et al., 2017).

 thus improving the quality of life of vulnerable populations (Ahmad Khan. 2016; Ardi and Leisten, 2016; Grant and Oteng-Abadio, 2016). Despite government initiatives, the implementation of a formal e- recycling sector in developing countries faces many barriers, such as high costs, supply deficiency, and the lack of strict regulation, a clear legal framework, adequate infrastructure, specific training, risk awareness and financial incentives (McCann and Wittman, 2015; Geeraerts et al., 2016; Gu et al, 2016).

 Finally, another crucial issue lay in the inconsistency in public awareness and willingness regarding proper e-recycling practices. Level of awareness varies from a complete lack among consumers in some developing countries (Liang and Sharp, 2016; Nartey, 2016) to favourable attitudes toward, but marginally adequate behaviour regarding formal e-recycling, in both developed and developing countries (Borthakur and Govind, 2017; Echegaray and Hansstein, 2017).

4. E-waste legacy contamination

 E-waste handling and processing have the potential to release a complex blend of contaminants in various environmental matrices. These releases can be more or less significant, depending on the processes used and the protective measures implemented. Contaminants in e-waste are released in highly heterogeneous mixtures, whose composition varies according to e-waste types, age, as well as handling and processing. The physical state of the released contaminants varies with the nature of the handling process, and includes particulate matter, gas, vapour, aerosol, solid residue left after a smelting or leaching process, liquid (spent acid or waste water) or semi-liquids (sludge from leaching solutions). Three large categories of contaminants may be discharged (Schluep et al., 2009; Lundgren, 2012; Cayumil, 2016):

- 331 secondary contaminants, mostly persistent and bioaccumulative organic substances (Table 2) that
- 332 derive from the combustion of primary contaminants such as PCBs or other ingredients (e.g.,
- 333 polyvinyl chloride/PVC in plastic or cabling), or during e-waste open burning, smelting or
- 334 incinerating operations;
- ³³⁵ tertiary contaminants, mostly acids and cycnaides (Table 2), emitted by chemicals such as the acids 336 and cyanides used in material recovery processes.

337 **Table 1**

338 **Major e-waste primary contaminants**

TBBPA-BGE)

¹ Often associated with other halogenated and non-halogenated flame retardants (Aschberger et al., 2017)

²According to the International Agency for research on cancer (IARC) classification

³ Alteration of the production and secretion of sexual hormones in rats in some animal studies (ATSDR, 2017)

4 Infertility in rats in some animal studies (ATSDR, 2017)

⁵ There are also inorganic phosphorus-based flame retardants such as red-phosphorus and phosphates (Aschberger et al., 2017)

⁶ Often associated with phosphorus-based flame retardants (US EPA, 2015)

Legend: BPA-BDPP, bisphenol A bis(diphenyl phosphate); CFCs, chlorofluorocarbons; DBDPE, decabromodiphenyl ethane or 1,2 bis(pentabromodiphenyl)ethane; DBHCTD; dibromo-hexachlorotricyclotridecene; DPs, dechlorane plus; DOPO, 9,10- dihydro-9-oxa-10-phospaphenanthrene-10-oxide; HBCD, hexabromocyclododecane; HC, hydrocarbons; HCFC, hydrochlorofluorocarbons; HFC, hydrofluorocarbons; PBDPP, 1,3-phenylene bis(diphenyl phosphate) or resorcinol bis(diphenyl phosphate); PCBs, polychlorinated biphenyls; PFOS/F, Perfluorooctane sulfonate; PVC, polyvinyl chloride; TBBPA, tetrabromobisphenol A; TBBPA-BGE, tetrabromobisphenol A-bis bis(glycidyl)ether; TBPH, bis(2-ethylhexyl)-3,4,5,6-tetrabromo-phthalate; TCEP, tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate; TCIPP, tris(2-chloroisopropyl) phosphate; TDCIPP, tris(1,3-dichloroisopropyl) phosphate; TPHP/TPP, triphenyl phosphate.

- CRTs, cathode ray tubes; IT, information technology; LCDs, Liquid Crystal Displays; LED, light-emitting diode; PTVs, Plasma televisions; PCs, personal computers; TVs, televisions; WPCBs, waste printed circuit boards.

(Based on information provided by Cummings et al., 2012; Lundgren, 2012; Kiddee et al., 2013; Fornalczyk et al., 2013; Klaassen, 2013; Namias, 2013; Haque et al., 2014; Julander et al., 2014; Szałatkiewicz, 2014; Baldé et al., 2015; Bellanger et al., 2015; Cucchiella et al., 2015; 2016; Son et al., 2015; US EPA, 2015; Zheng et al., 2015; Cayumil et al., 2016; Gramatica et al., 2016; Matsukami et al., 2016 and 2017; Nartey, 2016; Someya et al., 2016; Woo et al., 2016; American Chemistry Council, 2017; ATSDR, 2017; Aschberger et al., 2017; IARC, 2017; Tansel, 2017; Toxnet, n.d.).

339 Human exposure to e-waste contaminants may be occupational or environmental, affecting both workers

340 and the general population. As mentioned before, informal e-recycling sectors may involve not only adult

341 workers but also children, teenagers and older adults, who are mainly involved in outdoor activities,

 including dismantling and open burning. While most of formal e-recycling facilities have a particular concern for worker protection and are more able to invest in safe practices and disposal, workers involved in informal e-recycling activities are generally untrained and not equipped with personal protective equipment. They tend to use risky practices and sometimes work in worrisome conditions with no efforts to protect themselves, mainly due to the lack of knowledge of or access to protective measures (Iqbal et al., 2015; Adesokan et al., 2016; Amankwah-Amoah, 2016; Ceballos and Dong, 2016; Terazono et al., 2017).

 Exposure may also occur through pollutants persisting in different environmental matrices such as air, surface water and groundwater, soil, sediment, food, and wastewater. As workers involved in the informal sector usually live within close vicinity of or even within recycling sites, they may be even more exposed to those contaminants. Exposure pathways are multiple, depending not only on the nature of the e-waste processing, but also on the type of contaminants involved. They may include inhalation, ingestion, dermal contact, transplacental and lactational routes (Lundgren, 2012; Grant et al, 2013; Kedee et al., 2013; Iqbal et al., 2015; Asampong et al., 2015; Carlson, 2016).

 As is the case with other toxic waste, environmental damage from a lengthy history of inadequate e-waste management is expected to linger for a long time after the closure or upgrading of e-waste recycling sites further to the implementation of tighter environmental regulations or a shift from informal practices to more regulated ones (Xu Xinjin et al., 2015; Ceballos et al., 2016; Wang Yan et al., 2016). This is reflecting the e-waste legacy contamination, which is even more critical given the sustained involvement of persistent and bioaccumulative organic pollutants. According to LeBel (2016), exposure to e-waste

362 contaminants may "*defy the temporal practices and qualities associated with traditional waste*

364 **Table 2**

365 **Some secondary and tertiary e-waste contaminants released during e-waste processing**

¹ Some PAHs are *probably carcinogenic to humans* (e.g., ben[a]anthracene and benzo[a]pyrene), while some are *possibly carcinogenic to humans* (e.g., benzo[a]fluoranthene and benzo[k]fluoranthene), according to the IARC classification (ATSDR, 2016). Legend: FRs, flame retardants; PBDD/Fs, polybrominated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans; PAHs, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons;

PCBs, polychlorinated biphenyls; PCDD/Fs, polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans; PVC, polyvinyl chloride; PXDD/Fs, mixed polybromochloro-dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans.

(Based on information provided by Schluep et al, 2009; Lundgren et al., 2012; Grant et al., 2013; Kiddee, 2013; An et al., 2014; Cayumil et al., 2016; Chen Jiangyao et al., 2016; Zhang Tao et al., 2016; Aschberger et al., 2017; ATSDR, 2017; Iannicelli-Zubiani et al., 2017; IARC, 2017; Toxnet, n.d.)

³⁶³ *management strategies.*"

4.1. Legacy contamination and human body burden

 The environmental burden associated with e-waste contaminants began receiving attention from environmental advocacy groups in the 1990s (Ahmad Khan, 2016). A 2002 report from two non- governmental organizations (NGOs) − the Basel Action Network and the Silicon Valley Toxics Coalition (SVTC) − described some particularly harmful e-recycling activities (e.g., open-pit acid baths, smelting operations on the ground, crushing and open dumping of CRT TVs along rivers, open burning of wires within cities and near rivers and streams) with the complete absence of personal protective measures in Guiyu (China), Sher Shah (Pakistan) and New Delhi (India). Water sampled from rivers adjacent to WPBCs burning sites in Guiyu revealed lead concentrations 190 times higher than those set in the World Health Organization (WHO) Drinking Water Guidelines in 2001. Sediments sampled from the same location showed barium, tin and chromium concentrations respectively 10, 152, and 1,338 times higher than the US EPA threshold for environmental risk in soil (BAN/SVTC, 2002).

 Despite regulatory efforts and technological progress over the years, studies continue to uncover human exposure and environmental contamination attributable to e-waste handling and recycling activities, especially in developing countries (Lundgen, 2012; Song et al, 2015; Du et al., 2016; Awasthi et al., 2016). Table 1, in the Appendix, presents some of the most recent field studies (including a few human risk assessments) that have documented environmental impacts attributable to e-recycling practices. The targeted contaminants were mainly heavy metals and POPs (flame retardants, PCBs, PAHs). Children constitute the population most sensitive to e-waste exposure as they are likely to receive high doses of contaminants, in particular because of their exposure through multiple routes (e.g., breastfeeding, placental, dermal, hand-to-mouth, object-to-mouth, take-home exposure), their higher basal metabolism

 than adults, their larger surface area in relation to body weight and their lower toxin elimination rates (Zeng Xiang et al., 2016).

 Several studies have explored the body burden resulting from e-waste exposure, not only in formal and informal workers but also in the surrounding population. A literature review by Ceballos and Dong (2016) reported that, despite the use of advanced technologies, workers' exposure to some toxic metals in formal e-recycling facilities exceeds the occupational exposure limits while biological levels of flame retardants were found to be higher than those of unexposed reference groups. These authors suggested that engineering and administrative controls can lower occupational exposure, which means that protective measures implemented thus far in some licensed facilities have failed to create a completely safe work environment. Investigating occupational exposure in CRT recycling facilities in France, Lecler et al. (2015) identified a few highly polluting steps (e.g., CRT decontamination) and concluded that there is a need for general ventilation, better occupational risk management and medical biomonitoring. High exposure to heavy metals, furans, dioxins, PCBs and other POPs were mostly reported for workers in the informal sectors and the surrounding population (Song et al., 2015; Cayumil et al., 2016; Edwards, 2016; Li et al., 2017). Table 2, in the Appendix, reports on recent studies that have carried out personal air and/or biological sampling to investigate the body burden of e-waste exposure in workers and surrounding populations.

 However, ongoing investigations on e-waste legacy contamination are revealing emerging issues that also raise concerns, thus complicating the situation even further. Some of these are detailed in Table 3.

Table 3

Emerging issues regarding the e-waste legacy contamination

408 **4.2. Remaining gaps in scientific knowledge in legacy contamination**

416 mechanisms of the effects of e-waste contaminant mixtures (Grant et al., 2013; Xu Xijin et al., 2015; Zeng

417 Xiang et al., 2016).

418 **Table 4**

419 **Some scientific knowledge gaps regarding the e-waste legacy contamination**

420 **5. Potential solutions to curb e-waste issues**

 Solving e-waste issues is a long-term, challenging and costly task and calls for the full collaboration of all stakeholders involved (e.g., government, scientific community, chemical manufacturers, e-product designers, retailers and collectors, e-recyclers and end consumers). However, any action taken to address e-waste problems must be strongly backed by a firm governmental position in terms of tighter laws, regulations and policies, including surveillance of the Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) system, awareness initiatives, sustained financial, technical, logistical, and training support, and even international cooperation. The aim should be to steadily increase the engagement and adhesion of other stakeholders (Gu et al., 2016; Heacock et al., 2016; Step, 2016; Zhang and Guan, 2016; Zeng et al., 2017). That being said, the gaps have widened between rapidly evolving technological markets, high consumption of e- products and, hence, high generation of e-waste, on the one hand, and the resources available to deal adequately with the potentially hazardous e-waste flow, on the other. For instance, despite three decades of intense research, the performance and stability of substitute materials for e-product manufacturing are still problematic (Li et al., 2015). Yet, given the serious human health risks and environmental burden, it

 is imperative that the e-waste problem-solving process gain momentum. A comprehensive approach is suggested here that involves waging a battle on two fronts: at the upstream and downstream levels.

- Potential upstream solutions could include:
- **Substantially reducing the use of potentially toxic compounds**. Some substitutes for hazardous e-waste components already exist (e.g., lead-free alloys to replace traditional tin-lead solder, a nanoplate cobalt alloy instead of Cr, and carbon nanotubes to replace metal particles). However, a few substances such as Cd, Hg, Cr and flame retardants remain more challenging to replace in terms of physical and chemical properties. Furthermore, the affordability and functionality of safer materials remain the primary concern for e-product designers (Li et al., 2015; Meyer and Katz, 2016; You et al., 2016). Technology convergence, such as the integration of multiple devices into a single versatile one, may be an effective way to reduce human health and environmental impacts, provided that new devices replace as many single ones as possible (Son et al., 2015). Another avenue might be packaging designed to induce consumers to choose more environmentally friendly products (e.g., short description of chemical composition on e-product packaging) (You et al., 2016). Also, green electronics, which are still at the experimental phase, require further research and evaluation, global regulations, better consumer awareness and stronger incentives (Verdecchia et al., 2017).

 Decreasing quantities of e-waste. To date, the main focuses have been on waste management and clean production. However, a promising new avenue is that of joint mobilization (including strong governmental involvement) against the functional and subjective obsolescence of e-products by endeavoring to increase both manufacturers' and consumers' accountability. A more

 visible relationship needs to be established between planned and subjective obsolescence and potentially negative health effects and environmental degradation, on both the local and global scales. Profound changes in consumption patterns are also in order, without infringing on the individual's right to consume. Education and awareness-raising initiatives should be undertaken on a broader scale to reach large audiences, with sensitization beginning during formal education as early as elementary school. Achieving a higher level of awareness would empower consumers to become change drivers who could push manufacturers towards more sustainable business approaches (Wilson et al., 2015; Ahmed Khan, 2016; Echegaray, 2016). Manufacturers also have a role to play in influencing consumer behaviours through more ethical attitudes and a lasting commitment to sustainable production. One of manufacturers' main contributions could be to ensure sustainable designs and optimize the feasibility of e-product repairs, thus guaranteeing a welcome increase in the lifespan of e-devices. Other possible solutions could include more flexible assembly modes such as bolt connections instead of rivet connections, standardized motherboards with fixed connections, better technical supervision of repairers and greater visibility for repair service shops (O'Connor et al., 2016; You et al., 2016; Sabbaghi et al., 2017). Studies have also shown the potential for a technical increase in e-products' lifespan: manufacturers are therefore requested to encourage research and development in this promising area (Yadav et al., 2015). In this context, it is worth underlining the efforts of the European Parliament which adopted a motion on "*a longer lifetime for products*" in June 2017, for the first time calling on the European Commission to legislate against planned obsolescence (European Parliament, 2017).

 Increasing e-waste recyclability. Many strategies have been suggested to promote eco-design right from the conception stage by adding an environmental dimension to the existing resource, thus improving the feasibility and added value of e-recycling processes. This includes concepts such as design for environment (DFE), design for recycling (DFR), design for disassembly (DFA), and design for recovery (DFR). Intended to increase the ease of both repair and recycling processes, these strategies are mostly based on modular designs integrating dismantling process facilitators (e.g., fewer screws, use of snap fits, metal frames instead of plastic ones, separable touch screens and batteries) and on optimal material compatibility when selecting material combinations based on both their recycling properties and their performance criteria (Li et al., 2016; Movilla et al., 2016; O'Connor et al., 2016; Zeng et al., 2017).

Potential downstream solutions could include:

 Stopping the illegal trade while upgrading the informal e-recycling sector, pending full implementation of a safer formal one. These two actions go hand-in-hand, given that the illegal export of e-waste feeds informal practices. However, even if there is a total ban, an informal e- recycling sector will continue to handle stockpiled imported and domestic e-waste. This remains a very important means of subsistence for low-income and less-educated populations. Cracking down on this sector by reinforcing regulation would do more harm than good, as unemployment would rise and hence degrade living and health conditions among already vulnerable populations. A more pragmatic solution would be to provide a better framework to the informal sector through the increase of awareness, education, training and occupational and environmental health guidance among informal workers, along with tools and technical and financial support (Amankwaa et al.,

 2016; Ardi and Leisten, 2016; Heacock et al., 2016). The "Best of two worlds" (Bo2W) model, developed by the multi-stakeholder Step Initiative, advocates a division of tasks such that a more protected informal sector in developing countries would take part only in manual dismantling activities (referred to as the "best" pre-processing), while the complex e-waste fraction loaded with hazardous and valuable materials would be safely treated in state-of-the-art facilities located in developed countries (referred to as the "best" end-processing). Manual dismantling is thus considered more efficient and less costly for a higher yield of material liberation than the mechanical option. The Bo2W philosophy is seen as a transition measure and even as a "global reverse logistic" until such time as high-tech e-recycling facilities are fully implemented in developing countries (McCann and Wittemann, 2015; Wilson et al., 2015; Geeraerts et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2016). Similarly, some authors also advocate a "*regulated green transboundary channel*" of e-waste between developed and developing countries to sustain the reuse market, in addition to dismantling activities, suggesting that exporting countries could also fund appropriate e-waste management in receiving countries (Milovantseva and Fitzpatrick, 2016). This action would be extremely relevant, given the quantity of still-operational devices stored at home in developed countries, as revealed by surveys conducted in Canada Déméné and Marchand, 2016; Dewis and Van Wesenbeeck, 2016) and in the United Kingdom (Wilson et al., 2017). International cooperation is also suggested to support formal e-recycling in developing countries, mostly in terms of technology transfer and certification of facilities complying with legal and environmental criteria (Zhang and Guan, 2016).

 Introducing stronger and innovative reverse logistics to close the loop. Reverse logistics encompasses the processes of recovering used goods. In the case of e-waste, it includes all the mechanisms implemented to encourage consumers to properly dispose of e-waste and return e- products to the manufacturers. Reverse logistics is a great concern for all countries. Advocated proactive actions include increasing consumers' knowledge and awareness of the human health and environmental risks associated with inappropriate practices, and boosting their willingness to be proactive (e.g., through participation in policy design and implementation, which includes more convenient modes of returning devices; and through multiplication of strategic collection points; environmentally oriented education to instill moral attitudes and behaviours; and use of social media and advertising campaigns). Other proactive actions could include the creation of more formalized jobs in collecting and sorting areas, together with the inclusion, education and training of informal workers, and the promotion of tax and credit incentives among manufacturers, retailers and formal collectors (Dixit et al., 2016; Grant and Oteng-Abadio, 2016; Gu et al., 2016; Guarnieri et al., 2016; Liang and Sharp, 2016). In developed countries, better cooperation between the private and public sectors could be more productive as competitive collective systems may play a significant role in improving collection rate from households than a single or non-competing collective system (Corsini et al., 2017).

 Upgrading formal e-recycling processes. Intensive research is currently under way to enhance e-recycling technologies and profitability in order to ensure optimal recovery of valuable materials and thus reduce the pressure on the demand for raw materials, to create sustainable businesses and jobs, to treat hazardous fractions in an environmentally sound manner, and

 ultimately to dispose of e-waste properly (Kumari et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016; Movilla et al., 2016; Iannicelli-Zubiani et al., 2017; Tansel, 2017). Banning the export of e-waste would undoubtedly provide a strong incentive to improve e-recycling processes. In these times of scarce resources and environmental degradation (Ryneal, 2016; Tansel, 2017), upgrading formal e-recycling processes would be doubly advantageous, given the great economic development and profitability potential and the gainful employment opportunities they offer in developing countries (Amankwah-Amoah, 2016; Ryneal, 2016), as well as in developed countries (Cucchiella et al., 2016).

6. Conclusion

 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first paper to adopt a holistic approach that exposes the extreme complexity of the issues, challenges and gaps (including human health concerns and environmental degradation) associated with the tricky e-waste question, and that suggests potential solutions, both upstream and downstream. The many initiatives launched thus far to advocate suitable management practices are facing disappointing realities, which are reflected in heavy legacy contamination and significant human exposure to e-waste contaminants in surrounding populations and in both formal and informal e-recycling. Exploring e-waste issues is akin to opening a Pandora's box, with constantly emerging e-waste legacy contamination issues regarding, for example, critical exposure pathways, unexpected sources and environmental fates of contaminants, as well as novel e-waste contaminants, all of which is further complicated by an increasingly intricate illegal e-waste trade.

 However, despite scientific gaps, current knowledge of the threats posed by persistent and bioaccumulative pollutants is sufficient to raise awareness and concern among all stakeholders. Particular efforts should be expended to improve e-recycling technologies in terms of efficiency, affordability and environmental performance, given the great economic potential of e-recycling and its key role in preventing the incineration and/or landfilling of e-waste. As consumer habits and discipline remain essential to the resolution of any e-waste problem, joint efforts are needed to fight e-products' subjective and functional obsolescence through the environmentally oriented education of consumers, which in turn will help induce manufacturers to make ethical and sustained commitments.

 Human health and environmental integrity can quite conceivably be preserved while safely and wisely managing e-waste resources. However, achieving this may require an ideological shift toward a perception of e-waste as a vital input that could be re-introduced into other processes. This would effectively keep the lid on Pandora's box.

Acknowledgments

- This research was supported by the Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail
- (IRSST), Montréal, Québec, Canada (grant no. 2015-0083).

References

- Adesokan, M. D., Adie, G.U., Osibanjo, O., 2016. Soil pollution by toxic metals near e-waste recycling
- operations in Ibadan, Nigeria. J. Health Pollut. 6 (11), 26-33.
- Ahmad Khan, S., 2016. E-products, e-waste and the Basel Convention: Regulatory challenges and impossibilities of international environmental law. RECIEL. 25(2), 248-260.
- Akortia, E., Olukunle, O. I., Daso, A. P., Okonkwo, J. O., 2017. Soil concentrations of polybrominated
- diphenyl ethers and trace metals from an electronic waste dump site in the Greater Accra Region, Ghana:
- Implications for human exposure. Ecotox. Environ. Safe. 137, 247-255.
- Amankwaa, E. F., Bowman, J., Tsikudo, K. A., 2016. Recyclers at risk? Analysis of e-waste livelihoods
- and blood lead levels at Ghana's recycling hub, Agbogbloshie. Policy Brief 33113, June 2016,
- International Growth Center. ([http://www.theigc.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/Amankwaa-Tsikudo-](http://www.theigc.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/Amankwaa-Tsikudo-2016-Policy-brief.pdf)
- [2016-Policy-brief.pdf](http://www.theigc.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/Amankwaa-Tsikudo-2016-Policy-brief.pdf), accessed 10.01.2017)
- Amankwah-Amoah, J., 2016. Global business and emerging economies: Towards a new perspective on 585 the effects of e-waste. Technol. Forecast. Soc. 105, 20-26.
- American Chemistry Council, 2017. Classes of Flame Retardants: North American Flame Retardant
- Alliance. [\(https://flameretardants.americanchemistry.com/Classes-of-FRs,](https://flameretardants.americanchemistry.com/Classes-of-FRs) accessed 12.05.2017)
- An, T., Huang, Y., Li, G., He, Z., Chen, J., Zhang, C., 2014. Pollution profiles and health risk assessment
- of VOCs emitted during e-waste dismantling processes associated with different dismantling methods. Environ. Int. 73, 186-194.
- Anh, H. Q., Nam, V. D., Tri, T. M., Ha, N. M., Ngoc, N. T., Mai, P. T. N., et al., 2016. Polybrominated
- diphenyl ethers in plastic products, indoor dust, sediment and fish from informal e-waste recycling sites
- in Vietnam: a comprehensive assessment of contamination, accumulation pattern, emissions, and human
- exposure. Environ. Geochem. Health. 1-20. DOI: 10.1007/s10653-016-9865-6

- Andrews, A., 2009. Beyond The Ban Can The Basel Convention Adequately Safeguard the Interests of the World's Poor in the International Trade of Hazardous Waste? LEAD Journal, 5/2, 167. [\(http://www.lead-journal.org/content/09167.pdf](http://www.lead-journal.org/content/09167.pdf) [accessed 12.05.2017])
- Ardi, R., Leisten, R., 2016. Assessing the role of informal sector in WEEE management systems: A System Dynamics approach. Waste Manage. 57, 3-16.
- Asampong, E., Dwuma-Badu, K., Stephens, J., Srigboh, R., Neitzel, R., Basu, N., Fobil, J. N., 2015.
- Health seeking behaviours among electronic waste workers in Ghana. BMC Public Health, 15, 1065.
- Aschberger, K., Campia, I., Pesudo, L. Q., Radovnikovic, A., Reina, V., 2017. Chemical alternatives
- assessment of different flame retardants A case study including multi-walled carbon nanotubes as
- synergist. Environ. Int. 101, 27-45.
- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry), 2017. ATSDR Toxic Substances Portal. Last
- updated March 23, 2017. [\(https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxfaqs/index.asp,](https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxfaqs/index.asp) accessed 26.05.2017)
- Awasthi, A. K., Zeng, X., Li, J., 2016. Environmental pollution of electronic waste recycling in India: A critical review. Environ. Pollut. 211, 259-270.
- Baldé, C. P., Wang, F., Kuehr, R., Huisman, J., 2015. Global e-Waste Monitor 2014. United Nations
- University, IAS SCYCLE, Bonn, Germany. [\(https://i.unu.edu/media/ias.unu.edu-en/news/7916/Global-](https://i.unu.edu/media/ias.unu.edu-en/news/7916/Global-E-waste-Monitor-2014-small.pdf)
- [E-waste-Monitor-2014-small.pdf](https://i.unu.edu/media/ias.unu.edu-en/news/7916/Global-E-waste-Monitor-2014-small.pdf) [accessed 10.01.2017]).
- BAN/SVTC (Basel Action Network/Silicon Valley Toxics Coalition), 2002. Exporting Harm, the High-613 Tech Trashing of Asia. [\(https://static1.squarespace.com/static/558f1c27e4b0927589e0edad/t/56184364e4b0cf5700f852af/14444](https://static1.squarespace.com/static/558f1c27e4b0927589e0edad/t/56184364e4b0cf5700f852af/1444430692735/Exporting+Harm+Report.pdf)
- [30692735/Exporting+Harm+Report.pdf,](https://static1.squarespace.com/static/558f1c27e4b0927589e0edad/t/56184364e4b0cf5700f852af/1444430692735/Exporting+Harm+Report.pdf) accessed 15.05.2017).
- Basel Convention, 2011. Reports and Decisions. Decision IV/9 Adopted by the Conference of the Parties
- 617 at its Fourth Meeting, 6 May 1998,
- [\(http://basel.int/TheConvention/ConferenceoftheParties/ReportsandDecisions/tabid/3303/Default.aspx,](http://basel.int/TheConvention/ConferenceoftheParties/ReportsandDecisions/tabid/3303/Default.aspx)
- accessed 10.01.2017).

- Basel Convention, 2017. Amendment to the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal, Official website. [\(http://www.basel.int/Countries/StatusofRatifications/BanAmendment/tabid/1344/Default.aspx,](http://www.basel.int/Countries/StatusofRatifications/BanAmendment/tabid/1344/Default.aspx)
- accessed 02.05.2017).
- Bellanger, M., Demeneix, B., Grandjean, P., Zoeller, R. T., Trasande, L., 2015. Neurobehavioral deficits,
- diseases, and associated costs of exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals in the European Union. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 100(4), 1256-1266.
- Borthakur, A., Govind, M., 2017. Emerging trends in consumers' E-waste disposal behaviour and awareness: A worldwide overview with special focus on India. Resour. Conserv. Recy. 117, Part B, 102- 113.
- Borthakur, A., Singh, P., 2017. Researches on informal e-waste recycling sector: It's time for a 'Lab to Land' approach. J. Hazard. Mater. 323 (Part B), 730-732.
- Bradford, M., 2011. The United States, China & the Basel Convention on the Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal, Fordham Environ. Law Rev. 8(2), 305-349. [\(http://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1445&context=elr,](http://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1445&context=elr) accessed 26.05.2017).
- Carlson, K., 2016. Electronic waste worker health: Studying complex problems in the real world. In:
- Proceeding from the Eighth International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies and Development (ICTD), Article No. 35, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA [June 3-6], ACM 978-1-4503- 4306-0/16/06.
- Cayumil, R, Khanna, R., Rajarao, R., Ikram-ul-Haq, M., Mukherjee, P. S., Sahajwalla, V., 2016.
- Environmental impact of processing electronic waste Key issues and challenges. In: Mihai F.C. (Ed.),
- E-waste in Transition From Pollution to Resource, InTech, Croatia, pp. 9-35.
- Ceballos, D. M., Dong, Z., 2016. The formal electronic recycling industry: Challenges and opportunities in occupational and environmental health research. Environ. Int.

- Ceballos, D., Beaucham, C., Page, E., 2017. Metal exposure at three U.S. electronic scrap recycling facilities. J. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 14(6), 401-408.
- Chakraborty, P., Prithiviraj, B., Selvaraj, S., Kumar, B., 2016. Polychlorinated biphenyls in settled dust
- from informal electronic waste recycling workshops and nearby highways in urban centers and suburban
- industrial roadsides of Chennai city, India: Levels, congener profiles and exposure assessment. Sci. Total
- Environ. 573, 1413-1421.
- Chen, A., Dietrich, K. N., Huo, X., Ho, S. M., 2011. Developmental neurotoxicants in e-waste: an emerging health concern. Environ. Health Perspect. 119(4), 431-438.
- Chen, Fu, Yang, B., Ma, J., Qu, J., Liu, G., 2016. Decontamination of electronic waste-polluted soil by
- ultrasound-assisted soil washing. Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 23(20), 20331-20340.
- Chen, K., Zheng, J., Yan, X., Yu, L., Luo, X., Peng, X., et al., 2015. Dechlorane Plus in paired hair and serum samples from e-waste workers: correlation and differences. Chemosphere. 123, 43-47.
- Chen, Jiangyao, Huang, Y., Li, G., An, T., Hu, Y., Li, Y., 2016. VOCs elimination and health risk reduction in e-waste dismantling workshop using integrated techniques of electrostatic precipitation with advanced oxidation technologies. J. Hazard. Mater. 302, 395-403.
- Corsini, F., Rizzi, F., Frey, M., 2017. Extended producer responsibility: The impact of organizational dimensions on WEEE collection from households. Waste Manag. 59, 23-29.
- Cucchiella, F., D'Adamo, I., Lenny Koh, S. C., Rosa, P., 2015. Recycling of WEEEs: An economic assessment of present and future e-waste streams. Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 51, 263-272.
- Cucchiella, F., D'Adamo, I., Lenny Koh, S. C., Rosa, P., 2016. A profitability assessment of European recycling processes treating printed circuit boards from waste electrical and electronic equipments. Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 64, 749-760.
- Cui, J. L., Luo, C. L., Tang, C. W. Y., Chan, T. S., Li, X. D., 2017. Speciation and leaching of trace metal contaminants from e-waste contaminated soils. J. Hazard. Mater.

- Cummings, K.J., Nakano, M., Omae, K., Takeuchi, K., Chonan, T., Xiao, L., et al., 2012. Indium lung disease. Chest. 141 (6), 1512-1521.
- Curcic, M., Buha, A., Stankovic, S., Milovanovic, V., Bulat, Z., Đukić-Ćosić, D., et al., 2017. Interactions
- between cadmium and decabrominated diphenyl ether on blood cells count in rats—Multiple factorial
- regression analysis. Toxicology, 376, 120-125.
- D'Adamo, I., Paolo, R., Terzi, S., 2016. Challenges in waste electrical and electronic equipment management: a profitability assessment in three European countries. Sustainability, 8(633).
- Damrongsiri, S., Vassanadumrongdee, S., Tanwattana, P., 2016. Heavy metal contamination characteristic
- of soil in WEEE (waste electrical and electronic equipment) dismantling community: a case study of
- Bangkok, Thailand. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23(17), 17026-17034.
- Daso, A. P., Akortia, E., Okonkwo, J. O., 2016. Concentration profiles, source apportionment and risk assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in dumpsite soils from Agbogbloshie e-waste dismantling site, Accra, Ghana. Environ Sci. Pollut. Res. 23, 10883–10894.
- Déméné, C., Marchand, A., 2016. Exploring users' practices through the use phase of a television to minimise the environmental impact. J. Res. Consumers. 29, 4-13. [\(http://www.jrconsumers.com/Consumer_Articles/issue_29/,](http://www.jrconsumers.com/Consumer_Articles/issue_29/) accessed 10.01.2017).
- Dewis G, Van Wesenbeeck, P., 2016. Trash talking Dealing with Canadian household e-waste EnviroStats: Statistics Canada. Catalogue 16-002-X. [\(http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/16-002-](http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/16-002-x/2016001/article/14570-eng.pdf) [x/2016001/article/14570-eng.pdf,](http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/16-002-x/2016001/article/14570-eng.pdf) accessed 30.05.2017).
- Dixit, S., Badgaiyan, A. J., 2016. Towards improved understanding of reverse logistics Examining mediating role of return intention. Resour. Conserv. Recy. 107, 115–128.
- Du, H., Yu, M., Sun, J., Song, G., Li, Y., 2016. Epidemiological evidence of the effects of environmental
- pollution on male reproductive health in an electronic waste-recycling town. Pol. J. Environ. Stud. 25(4), 1777-1780.

- Duan, H., Hu, J., Tan, Q., Liu, L., Wang, Y., Li, J., 2016. Systematic characterization of generation and management of e-waste in China. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int. 23(2), 1929-1943.
- Echegaray, F., 2016. Consumers' reactions to product obsolescence in emerging markets: the case of Brazil. J. Clean. Prod. 134, 191-203.
- Echegaray, F., Hansstein, F. V., 2017. Assessing the intention-behavior gap in electronic waste recycling: the case of Brazil. J. Clean. Prod. 142, 180-190.
- Edwards, L., 2016. E-Waste Recycling: The Dirty Trade between the United States and China. Pomona Senior Theses. Paper 153. [\(http://scholarship.claremont.edu/pomona_theses/153,](http://scholarship.claremont.edu/pomona_theses/153) accessed 12.01.2017).
- Eguchi, A., Nomiyama, K., Minh Tue, N., Trang, P. T., Hung Viet, P., Takahashi, S., Tanabe, S., 2015. Residue profiles of organohalogen compounds in human serum from e-waste recycling sites in North Vietnam: Association with thyroid hormone levels. Environ. Res. 137, 440-449. DOI: 10.1016/j.envres.2015.01.007
- Elliot, B., 2016. Bankrupt processor leaves behind CRTs, E-Scrap News, Posted on March 10, 2016. [\(https://resource-recycling.com/e-scrap/2016/03/10/bankrupt-processor-leaves-behind-crts/,](https://resource-recycling.com/e-scrap/2016/03/10/bankrupt-processor-leaves-behind-crts/) accessed 06.08.2017)
- Elliot, B., 2017. Landfilling CRT Glass Becomes the Norm in California. E-scrap News, Posted on March 2, 2017 (https://resource-recycling.com/e-scrap/2017/03/02/landfilling-crt-glass-becomes-norm-california/, accessed 06.08.2017).
- European Commission, 2017. Waste Electrical & Electronic Equipment (WEEE). Last updated 2017/04/24. [\(http://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/rohs_eee/legis_en.htm,](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/rohs_eee/legis_en.htm) accessed 12.01.2017).
- European Parliament, 2017. Report on a Longer Lifetime for Products: Benefits for Consumers and
- Companies (2016/2272(INI)). Committee on the Internal Market and Consumer Protection, 9 June 2017
- [\(http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-//EP//TEXT+REPORT+A8-2017-](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-//EP//TEXT+REPORT+A8-2017-0214+0+DOC+XML+V0//EN#title2)
- [0214+0+DOC+XML+V0//EN#title2,](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getDoc.do?pubRef=-//EP//TEXT+REPORT+A8-2017-0214+0+DOC+XML+V0//EN#title2) accessed 24.07.2017).

- Flynn, M.A., 2014. Safety and the diverse workforce: Lessons from NIOSH's work with Latino immigrants. Prof. Saf. 59(6), 52-57.
- Fornalczyk, A., Willner, J., Francuz, K., Cebulski, J., 2013. E-waste as a source of valuable metals. Arch. Mater. Sci. Eng. 63(2), 87-92.
- Fujimori, T., Takigami, H., Agusa, T., Eguchi, A., Bekki, K., Yoshida, A., et al., 2012. Impact of metals in surface matrices from formal and informal electronic-waste recycling around Metro Manila, the Philippines, and intra-Asian comparison. J. Hazard. Mater. 221–222, 139-146.
- Fujimori, T, Takigami, H., 2014. Pollution distribution of heavy metals in surface soil at an informal electronic-waste recycling site (Philippines). Environ. Geochem. Health. 36, 159-168.
- Fujimori, T., Itai, T., Goto, A., Asante, K. A., Otsuka, M., Takahashi, S., Tanabe, S., 2016. Interplay of metals and bromine with dioxin-related compounds concentrated in e-waste open burning soil from Agbogbloshie in Accra, Ghana. Environ. Pollut. 209, 155-163.
- Gayatri, Y., Shailaja Raj, M., 2016. Molecular characterization of bacteria isolated from e-waste dumping yards at Hyderabad, Telangana, India. Eur. J. of Ex. Biol.6, 6:1. [\(https://www.imedpub.com,](https://www.imedpub.com/) accessed 12.01.2017).
- Geeraerts K, Illes, A. I., Schweizer, J. P., 2016. Illegal Shipment of E-Waste from the EU: A Case Study
- on Illegal E-Waste Export from the EU to China. A Study Compiled as Part of the EFFACE project. London: IEEP, Institute for European Environmental Policy. [\(http://efface.eu/sites/default/files/EFFACE_Illegal%20shipment%20of%20e%20waste%20from%20the](http://efface.eu/sites/default/files/EFFACE_Illegal%20shipment%20of%20e%20waste%20from%20the%20EU.pdf)
- [%20EU.pdf,](http://efface.eu/sites/default/files/EFFACE_Illegal%20shipment%20of%20e%20waste%20from%20the%20EU.pdf) accessed 30.05.2017).
- Ghosh, S. K., Debnath, B., Baidya, R., De, D., Li, J., Ghosh, S. K, et al., 2016. Waste electrical and
- electronic equipment management and Basel Convention compliance in Brazil, Russia, India, China and
- South Africa (BRICS) nations. Waste. Manage. Res. 34(8), 693-707.
- Gramatica, P., Cassani, S., Sangion, A., 2016. Are some "safer alternatives" hazardous as PBTs? The case
- study of new flame retardants. J. HazardL Mater. 306, 237-246.

- Grant, K., Goldizen, F. C., Sly, P. D., Brune, M.-N., Neira, M., van den Berg, M., Norman, R. E., 2013. Health consequences of exposure to e-waste: a systematic review. Lancet Glob. Health. 1(6), e350-e361.
- Grant, R. J., Oteng-Abadio, M., 2016. The Global Transformation of Materials and the Emergence of
- Informal Urban Mining in Accra, Ghana. Africa Today. 62(4), 2-20. Published by Indiana University
- Press. [\(https://muse.jhu.edu/article/620263](https://muse.jhu.edu/article/620263) , accessed 30.05.2017).
- Gu, Y., Wu, Y., Xu, M., Wang, H., Zuo, T., 2016. The stability and profitability of the informal WEEE
- collector in developing countries: A case study of China. Resour, Conserv. Recy. 107, 18-26.
- Guarnieri, P., e Silva, L. C., Levino, N. A., 2016. Analysis of electronic waste reverse logistics decisions
- using strategic options development analysis methodology: A Brazilian case. J. Clean. Prod. 133, 1105-
- 1117.
- Guna, V. K., Murugesan, G., Basavarajaiah, B. H., Ilangovan, M., Olivera, S., Krishna, V., Reddy, N.,
- 2016. Plant-based completely biodegradable printed circuit boards. IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices. 63(12), 4893-4898.
- Guo, X., Yan, K., 2017. Estimation of obsolete cellular phones generation: A case study of China. Sci. Total Environ. 575, 321-329.
- Haque, N., Hughes, A., Lim, S., Vernon, C., 2014. Rare earth elements: Overview of mining, mineralogy, uses, sustainability and environmental impact. Resources. 3, 614-635.
- He, C. T., Zheng, X. B., Yan, X., Zheng, J., Wang, M. H., Tan, X., et al., 2017. Organic contaminants and heavy metals in indoor dust from e-waste recycling, rural, and urban areas in South China: Spatial characteristics and implications for human exposure. Ecotox. Environ. Safe. 140, 109-115.
- Heacock, M., Kelly, C. B., Suk, W. A., 2016. E-waste: the growing global problem and next steps. Rev. Environ. Health. 31(1), 131-135.
- Honda, S., Khetriwal, D. S., Kuehr, R., 2016. Regional E-waste Monitor: East and Southeast Asia. United
- Nations University ViE SCYCLE, Bonn, Germany. [\(http://ewastemonitor.info/pdf/Regional-E-Waste-](http://ewastemonitor.info/pdf/Regional-E-Waste-Monitor.pdf)
- [Monitor.pdf,](http://ewastemonitor.info/pdf/Regional-E-Waste-Monitor.pdf) accessed 30.05.2017).

- Hong, W. J., Jia, H., Ding, Y., Li, W. L., Li, Y. F., 2016. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and halogenated flame retardants (HFRs) in multi-matrices from an electronic waste (e-waste) recycling site in Northern China. J. Mater. Cycles and Waste Manag. 1-11.
- Hopson, E., Puckett, J., 2016. Scam Recycling: e-Dumping on Asia by US Recyclers. The e-Trash Transparency Project, Basel Action Network. [\(https://www.resource-](https://www.resource-recycling.com/images/BANReportTwo.pdf)[recycling.com/images/BANReportTwo.pdf,](https://www.resource-recycling.com/images/BANReportTwo.pdf) accessed 30.05.2017).
- Hu, S., Zhang, W., Li, J., Lin, K., Ji, R., 2016. Antioxidant and gene expression responses of Eisenia fetida following repeated exposure to BDE209 and Pb in a soil-earthworm system. Sci. Total Environ. 556, 163- 168.
- Huang, Y., Ni, W., Chen, Y., Wang, X., Zhang, J., Wu, K., 2015. Levels and risk factors of antimony contamination in human hair from an electronic waste recycling area, Guiyu, China. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22(9), 7112-7119.
- Huisman, J., Botezatu, I., Herreras, L., Liddane, M., Hintsa, J., Luda di Cortemiglia, et al., 2015. Countering WEEE Illegal Trade (CWIT) Summary Report, Market Assessment, Legal Analysis, Crime and Recommendations Roadmap, August 30, 2015. Lyon, France. [\(https://www.interpol.int/content/download/32151/417087/version/1/file/CWIT%203%20small.pdf,](https://www.interpol.int/content/download/32151/417087/version/1/file/CWIT%203%20small.pdf) accessed 30.05.2017).
- IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer), IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans [\(http://monographs.iarc.fr/index.php,](http://monographs.iarc.fr/index.php) accessed 30.05.2017)
- Iannicelli-Zubiani, E. M., Giani, M. I., Recanati, F., Dotelli, G., Puricelli, S., Cristiani, C., 2017. Environmental impacts of a hydrometallurgical process for electronic waste treatment: A life cycle assessment case study. J. Clean. Prod. 140, Part 3, 1204-1216.
- Interpol, 2009. Electronic Waste and Organized Crime Assessing the link Phase II Report for the Interpol Pollution Crime Working Group: Interpol Pollution Crime Working Group [\(https://www.interpol.int/content/download/5367/45070/version/3/file/Wastereport.pd,](https://www.interpol.int/content/download/5367/45070/version/3/file/Wastereport.pd) accessed 30.05.2017).

- Iqbal, M., Breivik, K., Syed, J. H., Malik, R. N., Li, J., Zhang, G., Jones, K. C., 2015. Emerging issue of e-waste in Pakistan: A review of status, research needs and data gaps. Environ. Pollut. 207, 308-318.
- Julander, A., Lundgren, L., Skare, L., Grandér, M., Palm, B., Vahter, M., Lidén, C., 2014. Formal
- recycling of e-waste leads to increased exposure to toxic metals: An occupational exposure study from
- Sweden. Environ. Int. 73, 243-251.
- Kiddee, P., Naidu, R., Wong, M. H., 2013. Electronic waste management approaches: an overview. Waste Manag. 33(5), 1237-1250.
- Klaassen C., 2013. Casarett & Doull's Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons, Eighth Edition: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Klees, M., Hombrecher, K., Gladtke, D., 2017. Polychlorinated biphenyls in the surrounding of an e-waste
- recycling facility in North-Rhine Westphalia: Levels in plants and dusts, spatial distribution, homologue pattern and source identification using the combination of plants and wind direction data. Sci. Total Environ. 603–604, 606-615.
- Krieger, N., 2010. Workers are people too: societal aspects of occupational health disparities—an ecosocial perspective. Am. J. Ind. Med. 53(2), 104–115.
- Kumar, A., Holuszko, M., Espinosa, D. C. R., 2017. E-waste: An overview on generation, collection, legislation and recycling practices. Res. Conserv. Recy. 122, 32-42.
- Kumari, A., Jha, M. K., Lee, J.-C., Singh, R. P., 2016. Clean process for recovery of metals and recycling of acid from the leach liquor of PCBs. J. Clean. Prod. 112, Part 5, 4826-4834.
- Labunska, I., Abdallah, M. A.-E., Eulaers, I., Covaci, A., Tao, F., Wang, M., et al., 2015. Human dietary
- intake of organohalogen contaminants at e-waste recycling sites in Eastern China. Environ. Int. 74, 209- 220.
- Lebel, S., 2016. Fast machines, slow violence: ICTs, planned obsolescence, and e-waste. Globalizations. 13(3), 300-309.

- Lecler, M. T., Zimmermann, F., Silvente, E., Clerc, F., Chollot, A., Grosjean, J., 2015. Exposure to
- hazardous substances in Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) recycling sites in France. Waste Manag. 39, 226-235.
- Lepawsky, J., 2015. The changing geography of global trade in electronic discards: time to rethink the e-
- waste problem. Geog. J. 181(2), 147-159.
- Leong, F. T. L., Eggerth, D.E., Chang, D., Flynn, M.A., Ford, J.K., Martinez, R.O., 2017. Introduction.
- In: Leong, F. T.L., Eggerth, D.E., Chang, D., Flynn, M.A., Ford, J. K., Martinez, R.O. (Eds.).
- 822 Occupational Health Disparities among Racial and Ethnic Minorities: Formulating Research Needs and
- Directions. American Psychological Association. Washington, D.C.
- Li, J., Zeng, X., Chen, M., Ogunseitan, O. A., Stevels, A., 2015. "Control-alt-delete": rebooting solutions
- 825 for the E-waste problem. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49(12), 7095-7108.
- Li, J., He, X., Xianlai Zeng., 2016. Designing and examining e-waste recycling process: methodology and case studies. Environ. Tech. DOI:10.1080/09593330.2016.1207711
- Li, X., Tian, Y., Zhang, Y., Ben, Y., Lv, Q., 2017. Accumulation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in breast milk of women from an e-waste recycling center in China. J. Environ. Sci. 52, 305-313.
- Liang, L., Sharp, A., 2016. Determination of the knowledge of e-waste disposal impacts on the environment among different gender and age groups in China, Laos, and Thailand. Waste Manag. Res. 34(4), 388-395.
- Lin, Y., Xu, X., Dai, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, W., Huo, X., 2016. Considerable decrease of antibody titers against measles, mumps, and rubella in preschool children from an e-waste recycling area. Sci. Tot. Environ. 573, 760-766.
- Lin, X., Xu, X., Zeng, X., Xu, L., Zeng, Z., Huo, X., 2017. Decreased vaccine antibody titers following
- exposure to multiple metals and metalloids in e-waste-exposed preschool children. Environ. Pollut. 220.
- Pt A, 354-363.

- Lines, K., Graside, B., Sinha, S., Fedorenko, I., 2016. Clean and inclusive? Recycling e-waste in China and India: International Institute for Environment and Development [\(http://pubs.iied.org/pdfs/16611IIED.pdf,](http://pubs.iied.org/pdfs/16611IIED.pdf) accessed 30.05.2017).
- Lu, S. Y., Li, Y. X., Zhang, T., Cai, D., Ruan, J. J., Huang, M. Z., et al., 2017. Effect of E-waste recycling
- on urinary metabolites of organophosphate flame retardants and plasticizers and their association with
- oxidative stress. Environ. Sci.Tech. 51 (4), 2427-2437.
- Lundgren, K., 2012. The Global Impact of E-waste: Addressing the Challenge. International Labour
- Organization, Geneva, Switzerland [\(http://ilo.org/sector/Resources/publications/WCMS_196105/lang--](http://ilo.org/sector/Resources/publications/WCMS_196105/lang--en/index.htm)
- [en/index.htm,](http://ilo.org/sector/Resources/publications/WCMS_196105/lang--en/index.htm) accessed 30.05.2017)
- 848 Ma, J., Kannan, K., Cheng, J., Hori, Y., Wu, Q., Wang, W., 2008. Concentrations, profiles, and estimated human exposures for polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans from electronic waste recycling facilities and a chemical industrial complex in Eastern China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 8252– 8259
- Ma, J., Addink, R.,Yun, S., Cheng, J., Wang, W., Kannan, K., 2009. Polybrominated dibenzo-p- dioxins/dibenzofurans and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in soil, vegetation, workshop-floor dust, and electronic shredder residue from an electronic waste recycling facility and in soils from a chemical industrial complex in Eastern China. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 7350–7356.
- Ma, Z. Q., Jung, Y. H., Chang, T. H., Seo, J. H., Zhang, H., Cai, Z., Gong, S., 2016. Green microwave electronics for the coming era of flexible electronics. Proceedings from Microwave Symposium (IMS), IEEE MTT-S International, San Francisco, CA, USA [22-27 May].
- Mackintosh, S. A., Wallace, J. S., Gross, M. S., Navarro, D. D., Pérez-Fuentetaja, A., Alaee, M., et al.,
- 2015. Review on the occurrence and profiles of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the Philippines.
- Environ. Int. 85, 314-326.
- Magalini, F., 2016. Global challenges for e-waste management: the societal implications. Rev. Environ. Health. 31(1), 137-140.

- Massari, M., Monzini, P., 2006. Dirty businesses in Italy: a case-study of illegal trafficking in hazardous waste. Global Crime. 6(3-4), 285-304.
- Matsukami, H., Suzuki, G., Tue, N. M., Tuyen, L. H., Viet, P. H., Takahashi, S., et al., 2016. Analysis of monomeric and oligomeric organophosphorus flame retardants in fish muscle tissues using liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry: Application to Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) from an e-waste processing area in northern Vietnam. Emerg. Contam. 2(2), 89- 97.
- Matsukami, H., Suzuki, G., Someya, M., Uchida, N., Tue, N. M., Tuyen, L. H., et al., 2017. Concentrations
- of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and alternative flame retardants in surface soils and river sediments
- from an electronic waste-processing area in northern Vietnam, 2012–2014. Chemosphere, 167, 291-299.
- McCann, D., Wittmann, A., 2015. E-waste Prevention, Take-back System Design and Policy Approaches. United Nations University/Step Initiative [\(http://www.step-initiative.org/news/step-green-paper-](http://www.step-initiative.org/news/step-green-paper-explores-policy-solutions-to-e-waste-problem.html)[explores-policy-solutions-to-e-waste-problem.html,](http://www.step-initiative.org/news/step-green-paper-explores-policy-solutions-to-e-waste-problem.html) accessed 30.05.2017).
- 877 Meyer, D. E., Katz, J. P., 2016. Analyzing the environmental impacts of laptop enclosures using screening-
- 878 level life cycle assessment to support sustainable consumer electronics. J. Clean. Prod. 112, 369-383.
- Milovantseva, N., Fitzpatrick, C., 2016. Effect of Waste Legislation on Transboundary Movements of
- EEE Destined for Reuse: Impact of E-waste Regulations on Reuse Organizations and Possible Future
- Direction. United Nations University/Step Initiative [\(http://www.step-initiative.org/files/step-](http://www.step-initiative.org/files/step-2014/Publications/Green%20and%20White%20Papers/Step_GP_TBM_draft_fin_16_01_13.pdf)
- [2014/Publications/Green%20and%20White%20Papers/Step_GP_TBM_draft_fin_16_01_13.pdf,](http://www.step-initiative.org/files/step-2014/Publications/Green%20and%20White%20Papers/Step_GP_TBM_draft_fin_16_01_13.pdf)
- accessed 3.06.2017)
- 884 MJC & Associates, 2004. Screening Level Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment for Generic E-waste Processing Facility: ICT Innovation and Computers for Schools Program, Industry Canada and National Office of Pollution Prevention, Environment Canada. September 2004. MJC03-126.
- Mohanty, S, Vermeersch, E., Hintsa, J., Di Cortemiglia, V. L., Liddane, M., 2015. Weaknesses in
- European e-Waste Management. Proceedings from the Hamburg International Conference of Logistics
- (HICL), Hamburg, Germany [\(https://hicl.org/publications/2015/22/535.pdf,](https://hicl.org/publications/2015/22/535.pdf) accessed 10.06.2017)

- Morris, A., Metternicht, G., 2016. Assessing effectiveness of WEEE management policy in Australia. J. Environ. Manag. 181, 218-230.
- Movilla, A., N., Zwolinski, P., Dewulf, J., Mathieux, F., 2016. A method for manual disassembly analysis to support the ecodesign of electronic displays. Res. Conserv. Recyc. 114, 42-58.
- Namias J., 2013. The future of electronic waste recycling in the United States: Obstacles and Domestic Solutions (MS thesis). Dept. Earth and Environmental Engineering, Columbia University, New York.
- Nartey, K. V., 2016. Environmental and health impacts of informal e-waste recycling in Agbogbloshie,
- Accra, Ghana: Recommendations for Sustainable Management (PhD Thesis), Rheinischen Friedrich-
- Wilhelms‐Universität Bonn, Germany.
- NIOSH/ASSE (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health/American Society for Safety
- Engineers), 2015. Overlapping vulnerabilities: the occupational safety and health of young workers in
- small construction firms. By Flynn MA, Cunningham TR, Guerin RJ, Keller B, Chapman LJ, Hudson D,
- Salgado C. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No.
- 2015-178.
- O'Connor, M. P., Zinnerman, J. B., Anastas, P. T., Plata, D. L., 2016. A strategy for material supply chain sustainability: enabling a circular economy in the electronics industry through green engineering. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 4(11), 5879-5888.
- Page, E., Ceballos, D., Oza, A., Gong, W., Mueller, C., 2015. Metal Exposures in an Electronic Scrap Recycling Facility. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Report No. 2013-0067-3228 (https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/hhe/reports/pdfs/2013-0067-3228.pdf, accessed 06.08.2017).
- Pascale, A, Sosa, A., Bares, C., Battocletti, A., Moll, M. J., Pose, D., et al., 2016. E-waste informal recycling: an emerging source of lead exposure in South America. Ann. Glob. Health. 82(1), 197-201.

- Peluola, A., 2016. Investigation of the implementation and effectiveness of electronic waste management in Nigeria. Model. Earth Syst. Environ. 2:100.
- Perkins, D. N., Brune Drisse, M.-N., Nxele, T., Sly, P. D., 2014. E-waste: a global hazard. Ann. Glob. Health. 80(4), 286-295.
- Petridis, N. E., Stiakakis, E., Petridis, K., Dey, P., 2016. Estimation of computer waste quantities using forecasting techniques. J. Clean. Prod. 112, 3072-3085.
- Pickren, G., 2015. Making connections between global production networks for used goods and the realm
- of production: a case study on e-waste governance. Glob. Netw. 14(4), 403-423.
- Premalatha, M., Thabassum-Abbasi, Tasneem Abbasi, Abbasi, S.A., 2014. The generation, impact, and 923 management of e-waste: State of the Art. Crit. Rev. Env. Sci. Technol. 44(14), 1577-1678.
- Robinson, B.H., 2009. E-waste: An Assessment of global production and environmental impacts. Sci. Total Environ. 408 (2), 183-191.
- Rucevska I., N. C., Isarin N., Yang W., Liu N., Yu K., Sandnæs S., et al., 2015. Waste Crime Waste Risks
- Gaps in the Meeting the Global Waste Challenge, A UNEP Rapid Response Assessment. United Nations
- Environment Programme and GRID-Arendal, Nairobi and Arendal
- (http://www.unep.org/ourplanet/september-2015/unep-publications/waste-crime-waste-risks-gaps-
- meeting-global-waste-challenge-rapid, accessed 01.06.2017).
- Ryneal, M., 2016. Turning waste into gold: Accumulation by disposal and the political economy of e- waste urban mining (PhD Thesis), Northern Arizona University, Arizona, USA. ProQuest Number: 10125349.
- Sabbaghi, M., Cade, W., Behdad, S., Bisantz, A. M., 2017. The current status of the consumer electronics 935 repair industry in the U.S.: a survey-based study. Res. Conserv. Recy. 116, 137-151.
- Sahle-Demessie, E., Richardson, T., Changseok Han, C., Dietrich, J., Wang, J., 2016. Characterizing of
- Emissions from Open Burning of Electronic Waste using TG-GC-MS System [\(https://resource-](https://resource-recycling.com/e-scrap/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2017/02/Emissions-from-Open-Burning-of-Electronic-Waste-Application-Note-013098_01-2.pdf)

- [recycling.com/e-scrap/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2017/02/Emissions-from-Open-Burning-of-](https://resource-recycling.com/e-scrap/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2017/02/Emissions-from-Open-Burning-of-Electronic-Waste-Application-Note-013098_01-2.pdf)
- [Electronic-Waste-Application-Note-013098_01-2.pdf,](https://resource-recycling.com/e-scrap/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2017/02/Emissions-from-Open-Burning-of-Electronic-Waste-Application-Note-013098_01-2.pdf) accessed 02.06.2017).
- Scruggs, C. E., Nimpuno, N., Moore, R. B. B., 2016. Improving information flow on chemicals in
- electronic products and E-waste to minimize negative consequences for health and the environment.
- Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 113, 149-164.
- Schluep, M., Hagelueken, C., Kuehr, R., Magalini, F., Maurer, C, Meskers, E, et al., 2009. Recycling from
- e-Waste to Resources. Sustainable Innovation and Technology Transfer Industrial Sector Studies.
- UNEP/Step [\(http://www.unep.org/pdf/Recycling_From_e-waste_to_resources.pdf,](http://www.unep.org/pdf/Recycling_From_e-waste_to_resources.pdf) accessed
- 02.06.2017).
- Seeberger, J., Grandhi, R, Kim, SS, Mase, WA, Reponen, T, Ho, S, Chen, A., 2016. E-waste management in the United States and public health implications. J. Environ. Health. 79(3).
- Shen, C., Tanga, X., Cheemaa, S. A., Zhanga, C., Khana, M. I., Lianga, F., et al., 2009. Enhanced phytoremediation potential of polychlorinated biphenyl contaminated soil from e-waste recycling area in the presence of randomly methylated-β-cyclodextrins. J. Hazard. Mat. 172 (2-3), 1671-1676.
- Shen, H., Guan, R., Ding, G., Chen, Q., Lou, X., Chen, Z., et al., 2017. Polychlorinated dibenzo-p- dioxins/furans (PCDD/Fs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in Zhejiang foods (2006–2015): Market basket and polluted areas. Sci. Tot. Environ. 574, 120-127.
- Shi, J. C., Zheng, G. J. S., Wong, M. H., Liang, H., Li, Y. L., Wu, Y. L., et al., 2016. Health risks of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons via fish consumption in Haimen bay (China), downstream of an e-waste recycling site (Guiyu). Environ. Res. 147, 233-240.
- Singh, N., Li, J., Zeng, X., 2016. Global responses for recycling waste CRTs in e-waste. Waste Manage. 57, 187-197.
- Someya, M., Suzuki, G., Ionas, A. C., Tue, N. M., Xu, F., Matsukami, H., et al., 2016. Occurrence of
- emerging flame retardants from e-waste recycling activities in the northern part of Vietnam. Emerg. Contam. 2(2), 58-65.

- Son, K.B., Lee, D. S., Lim, S.R., 2015. Effect of technology convergence for tablet PC on potential environmental impacts from heavy metals. Int. J. Sust. Dev. World 23(2), 154-162.
- Song, Q., Li, J., 2015. A review on human health consequences of metals exposure to e-waste in China. Environ. Pollut. 196, 450-461.
- Song, Q., Zeng, X., Li, J., Duan, H., Yuan, W., 2015. Environmental risk assessment of CRT and PCB workshops in a mobile e-waste recycling plant. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22(16), 12366-12373.
- Srigboh, R. K., Basu, N., Stephens, J., Asampong, E., Perkins, M., Neitzel, R. L., Fobil, J., 2016. Multiple
- elemental exposures amongst workers at the Agbogbloshie electronic waste (e-waste) site in Ghana.
- Chemosphere, 164, 68-74.
- 972 Step, 2014. One Global Definition of E-waste. Solving the E-Waste Problem (Step) White Paper Series. United Nations University/Step Initiative 2014. [\(http://www.step-](http://www.step-initiative.org/files/step/_documents/StEP_WP_One%20Global%20Definition%20of%20E-waste_20140603_amended.pdf)
- [initiative.org/files/step/_documents/StEP_WP_One%20Global%20Definition%20of%20E-](http://www.step-initiative.org/files/step/_documents/StEP_WP_One%20Global%20Definition%20of%20E-waste_20140603_amended.pdf)
- [waste_20140603_amended.pdf,](http://www.step-initiative.org/files/step/_documents/StEP_WP_One%20Global%20Definition%20of%20E-waste_20140603_amended.pdf) accessed 06.08.2017).
- Step, 2016. Guiding Principles to Develop E-waste Management Systems and Legislation. Solving the E-
- Waste Problem (Step) White Paper Series. United Nations University/Step Initiative 2016. ([http://www.step-initiative.org/files/step-](http://www.step-initiative.org/files/step-2014/Publications/Green%20and%20White%20Papers/Step_WP_WEEE%20systems%20and%20legislation_final.pdf)
- [2014/Publications/Green%20and%20White%20Papers/Step_WP_WEEE%20systems%20and%20legisl](http://www.step-initiative.org/files/step-2014/Publications/Green%20and%20White%20Papers/Step_WP_WEEE%20systems%20and%20legislation_final.pdf) 980 ation final.pdf, accessed 30.05.2017).
- - Sthiannopkao, S., Wong, M. H., 2013. Handling e-waste in developed and developing countries: Initiatives, practices, and consequences. Sci. Tot. Environ. 463–464, 1147-1153.
	- Sugimura, Y., Murakami, S., 2016. Problems in Japan's governance system related to end-of-life electrical and electronic equipment trade. Resour. Conserv. Recy. 112, 93-106.
	- Suzuki, G., Someya, M., Matsukami, H., Tue, N. M., Uchida, N., Tuyen, L. H., et al., 2016. Comprehensive evaluation of dioxins and dioxin-like compounds in surface soils and river sediments from

- e-waste-processing sites in a village in northern Vietnam: Heading towards the environmentally sound management of e-waste. Emerg. Contam. 2(2), 98-108.
- Szałatkiewicz, J., 2014. Metals content in printed circuit board waste. Pol. J. Environ. Stud., 23(6), 2365- 2369.
- Tansel, B., 2017. From electronic consumer products to e-wastes: Global outlook, waste quantities, recycling challenges. Environ. Int. 98, 35-45.
- Tao, F., Matsukami, H., Suzuki, G., Nguyen Minh, T., Pham Hung, V., Takigami, H., Harrad, S., 2016. Emerging halogenated flame retardants and hexabromocyclododecanes in food samples from an e-waste processing area in Vietnam. Environ. Sci. Proc. Imp. 18, 361-370.
- Terazono, A., Oguchi, M., Yoshida, A., Medina, R. P., Ballesteros, F. C. Jr., 2017. Material recovery and environmental impact by informal e-waste recycling site in the Philippines. In Matsumoto et al. (Eds.), Sustainability through Innovation in Product Life Cycle Design, Vol. Part II, Singapore: Springer Singapore, pp. 197-213.
- Toxnet (n.d.). Toxicology Data Network. [\(https://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/search2,](https://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/search2) accessed 30.05.2017).
- Tran, H. P., Wang, F., Dewel, J., Huynh, T. H., Schaubroeck, T., 2016. Estimation of the unregistered inflow of electrical and electronic equipment to a domestic market: A case study on televisions in Vietnam. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50 2424−2433.
- Tue, N. M., Goto, A., Takahashi, S., Itai, T., Asante, K. A., Nomiyama, K., et al., 2016a. Soil contamination by halogenated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from open burning of e-waste in Agbogbloshie (Accra, Ghana). J. Mat. Cycles Waste Manag. DOI: 10.1007/s10163-016-0568-y
- Tue, N. M., Goto, A., Takahashi, S., Itai, T., Asante, K. A., Kunisue, T., Tanabe, S., 2016b. Release of chlorinated, brominated and mixed halogenated dioxin-related compounds to soils from open burning of e-waste in Agbogbloshie (Accra, Ghana). J. Hazard. Mater. 302, 151-157.

 UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme), 2010. Introductory Note to the Basel Convention by Dr. Katharina Kummer Peiry, Executive Secretary of the Basel Convention, UNEP [\(http://legal.un.org/avl/ha/bcctmhwd/bcctmhwd.html,](http://legal.un.org/avl/ha/bcctmhwd/bcctmhwd.html) accessed 15 June 2017).

 US DOJ (United States Department of Justice), 2010. A Review of Federal Prison Industries' Electronic- Waste Recycling Program. Office of the Inspector General, Oversight and Review Division; October 2010.

 US EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency), 2015. Flame Retardants in Printed Circuit Boards. Chapter 3. Final Report. EPA Publication 744-R-15-001. [\(https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-08/documents/pcb_final_report.pdf,](https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-08/documents/pcb_final_report.pdf) accessed 15.06.2017).

 US EPA, 2016a. EPD Will Not Tolerate Illegal Import Of Hazardous E-Waste, Press Release, June 17, 2016. [\(http://www.info.gov.hk/gia/general/201606/17/P201606171114.htm,](http://www.info.gov.hk/gia/general/201606/17/P201606171114.htm) accessed 15.06.2017).

 US EPA, 2016b. Implementation Study Of The Electronics Recycling Standards: R2 and e-Stewards®: United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery and Abt Associates, Inc.

 Verdecchia, R., Ricchiuti, F., Hankel, A., Lago, P., Procaccianti, G., 2017. Green ICT research and challenges. In V. Wohlgemuth, F. Fuchs-Kittowski & J. Wittmann (Eds.), Advances and New Trends in Environmental Informatics: Stability, Continuity, Innovation, pp. 37-48. Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Wang, Yan, Luo, C., Wang, S., Cheng, Z., Li, J., Gan, Z., 2016. The abandoned e‑waste recycling site

 continued to act as a significant source of polychlorinated biphenyls: An in situ assessment using fugacity samplers. Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 8623−8630.

 Wang, Yan, Wu, X., Hou, M., Zhao, H., Chen, R., Luo, C., Zhang, G., 2017. Factors influencing the atmospheric concentrations of PCBs at an abandoned e-waste recycling site in South China. Sci. Tot. Environ. 578, 34-39.

- Wang, Yalin, Hu, J., Lin, W., Wang, N., Li, C., Luo, et al. 2016. Health risk assessment of migrant workers' exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls in air and dust in an e-waste recycling area in China: Indication for a new wealth gap in environmental rights. Environ. Int. 87, 33-41.
- Wilson D. C., Rodic., L., Modak, P., Soos, R., Carpintero Rogero, A., Velis, C., et al. 2015. Global Waste
- Management Outlook. UNEP. [\(http://www.unep.org/ourplanet/september-2015/unep-](http://www.unep.org/ourplanet/september-2015/unep-publications/global-waste-management-outlook)[publications/global-waste-management-outlook,](http://www.unep.org/ourplanet/september-2015/unep-publications/global-waste-management-outlook) accesses 30.05.2017).
- Wilson, G. T., Smalley, G., Suckling, J. R., Lilley, D., Lee, J., Mawle, R. 2017. The hibernating mobile phone: Dead storage as a barrier to efficient electronic waste recovery. Waste Manag. 60, 521-533.
-
- WDE (Washington State Department of Ecology), 2016. Electronics Recycler Fined \$444,000 for Illegally
- Disposing of Dangerous Waste Overseas, 1 September 2016. [\(http://www.ecy.wa.gov/news/2016/114.html,](http://www.ecy.wa.gov/news/2016/114.html) accessed 30.05.2017).
- Wittsiepe, J., Fobil, J. N., Till, H., Burchard, G.-D., Wilhelm, M., Feldt, T., 2015. Levels of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins, dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs) and biphenyls (PCBs) in blood of informal e-waste recycling workers from Agbogbloshie, Ghana, and controls. Environ. Int. 79, 65-73.
- Woo, S. H., Lee, D. S., Lim, S. R., 2016. Potential resource and toxicity impacts from metals in waste electronic devices. Integr. Environ. Asses. 12(2), 364-370.
- Wu, Chen-Chou, Bao, L.-J., Tao, S., Zeng, E. Y., 2016. Dermal uptake from airborne organics as an important route of human exposure to e-waste combustion fumes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50(13), 6599- 6605.
- Wu, Q., Leung, J. Y. S., Geng, X., Chen, S., Huang, X., Li, H., et al., 2015. Heavy metal contamination
- of soil and water in the vicinity of an abandoned e-waste recycling site: Implications for dissemination of heavy metals. Sci. Tot. Environ. 506-507, 217-225.
- Wu, Ping-Fan, Yu, L. L., Li, L., Zhang, Y., Li, X. H., 2016. Maternal transfer of dechloranes and their distribution among tissues in contaminated ducks. Chemosphere, 150, 514-519.

- Xiao, X., Hu, J., Peng, P. a., Chen, D., Bi, X., 2016. Characterization of polybrominated dibenzo-p-dioxins
- and dibenzo-furans (PBDDs/Fs) in environmental matrices from an intensive electronic waste recycling
- site, South China. Environ. Pollut. 212, 464-471.
- Xu, Long, Huo, X., Zhang, Y., Li, W., Zhang, J., Xu, X., 2015. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in human
- placenta associated with neonatal physiological development at a typical e-waste recycling area in China.
- Environ. Pollut. 196, 414-422.
- Xu, Long, Ge, J., Huo, X., Zhang, Y., Lau, A. T. Y., Xu, X., 2016. Differential proteomic expression of
- human placenta and fetal development following e-waste lead and cadmium exposure in utero. Sci. Tot. Environ. 550, 1163-1170.
- - Xu, Pengjun, Tao, B., Ye, Z., Zhao, H., Ren, Y., Zhang, T., et al., 2016. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
	- concentrations, compositions, sources, and associated carcinogenic risks to humans in farmland soils and
	- riverine sediments from Guiyu, China. J. Environ. Sci. 48, 102-111.
	- Xu, Xinjin, Zeng, X., Boezen, H. M., Huo, X., 2015. E-waste environmental contamination and harm to public health in China. Front. Med-PRC. 9(2), 220-228.
	- Yadav, V., Cheraghi, S. A., Namboodiri, V., 2015. The OS elixir: On the impact of operating system
	- upgrades on mobile device lifespan. Proceeding from Green Computing Conference and Sustainable
	- Computing Conference (IGSC), 2015 Sixth International, Las Vegas, NV, USA, [14-16 December].
	- You, Z. W., Hou, W. J., Wang, X. C., Ma, J. S., Liu, J., 2016. Design strategies for developing sustainable consumer electronics. J. Residual. Sci. Tech. 13, S219-S226.
	- Yuan, B., Fu, J., Wang, Y., Jiang, G., 2017. Short-chain chlorinated paraffins in soil, paddy seeds (Oryza
	- sativa) and snails (Ampullariidae) in an e-waste dismantling area in China: Homologue group pattern,
	- spatial distribution and risk assessment. Environ. Pollut.
	- Yu-Gong, Tian, X.-m., Wu, Y.-f., Zhe-Tan, Lei-Lv, 2016. Recent development of recycling lead from scrap CRTs: a technological review. Waste Manag. 57, 176-186.

- Zeng, Xiang, Xu, X., Boezen, H. M., Huo, X., 2016. Children with health impairments by heavy metals in an e-waste recycling area. Chemosphere, 148, 408-415.
- Zeng, Xianlai, Gong, R., Chen, W.Q, Jinhui, l., 2016. Uncovering the recycling potential of "new" WEEE in China. Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 1347−1358.
- Zeng, X., Yang, C., Chiang, J. F., Li, J., 2017. Innovating e-waste management: From macroscopic to microscopic scales. Sci. Total Environ. 575, 1-5.
- Zhao, Y., Ma, J., Qiu, X., Lin, Y., Yang, Q., Zhu., T., 2013. Gridded field observations of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and decabromodiphenyl ethane in the atmosphere of North China, Environ. Sci. Technol. 47(15), 8123- 8129.
- Zhang, B., Guan, D., 2016. Take responsibility for electronic waste disposal. Nature. 536 (7614), 23-25.
- Zhang, L., Xu, Z., 2016. A review of current progress of recycling technologies for metals from waste electrical and electronic equipment. J. Clean. Prod. 127, 19-36.
- Zhang, Tao, Xue, J., Gao, C. Z., Qiu, R. L., Li, Y. X., Li, X., et al., 2016. Urinary concentrations of bisphenols and their association with biomarkers of oxidative stress in people living near e-waste recycling facilities in China. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50(7), 4045-4053.
- Zheng, Xiaobo, Xu, F., Chen, K., Zeng, Y., Luo, X., Chen, S., et al., 2015. Flame retardants and organochlorines in indoor dust from several e-waste recycling sites in South China: Composition variations and implications for human exposure. Environ. Int. 78, 1-7.
- Zheng, Xiaobo, Xu, F., Luo, X., Mai, B., Covaci, A., 2016. Phosphate flame retardants and novel brominated flame retardants in home-produced eggs from an e-waste recycling region in China. Chemosphere. 150, 545-550.
- Zheng, Jing, Yu, L. H., Chen, S. J., Hu, G. C., Chen, K. H., Yan, X., et al., 2016. Polychlorinated Biphenyls
- (PCBs) in human hair and serum from e-waste recycling workers in Southern China: Concentrations,
- chiral signatures, correlations, and source identification. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50(3), 1579-1586.

- Zheng, Xiangbin, Xu, X., Yekeen, T. A., Zhang, Y., Chen, A., Kim, S. S., et al., 2016. Ambient air heavy metals in PM 2.5 and potential human health risk assessment in an informal electronic-waste recycling
- site of China. Aerosol Air. Qual. Res. 16, 388-397.
- Zhu, C., Wang, P., Li, Y., Chen, Z., Li, H., Ssebugere, P., Zhang, Q., Jiang, Q., 2017. Trophic transfer of
- hexabromocyclododecane in the terrestrial and aquatic food webs from an e-waste dismantling region in
- East China. Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts.

 \bigcirc

APPENDIX

Table 1

Recent reports on environmental and human burdens ascribed to e-waste contaminants

¹ Informal activities have been suspended in Guiyu since December 2015 (Ceballos and Dong, 2016).

²The inclusion of SCCPs as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in the Stockholm Convention is under review (Yuan et al., 2017).

 \bigcirc

Table 2

Recent reports on the body burden of e-waste contaminants in e-recycling workers and surrounding populations

