Institut de Recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail

PhareSST

Affiches

Conférences et affiches

2019-01-01

Supervisor's roles and responsibilities in preventing prolonged disability in workers with musculoskeletal disorders

Iuliana Nastasia IRSST, nastasia.iuliana@irsst.qc.ca

Marie-France Coutu *Université de Sherbrooke*, marie-france.coutu@usherbrooke.ca

Sarah Gaspard Université de Sherbrooke

Romain Rives Université de Sherbrooke, romain.rives@irsst.qc.ca

Audrey Quilicot-Petit *Université de Sherbrooke*

Suivez ce contenu et d'autres travaux à l'adresse suivante: https://pharesst.irsst.qc.ca/affiches

Citation recommandée

Nastasia, I., Coutu, M.-F., Gaspard, S., Rives, R. et Quilicot, A. (2019, 2-5 septembre). *Supervisor's roles and responsibilities in preventing prolonged disability in workers with musculoskeletal disorders* [Affiche]. PREMUS 2019, the 10th International Scientific Conference on the Prevention of Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders: From research to evidence based sustainable interventions and practices, Bologne, Italie.

Ce document vous est proposé en libre accès et gratuitement par PhareSST. Il a été accepté pour inclusion dans Affiches par un administrateur autorisé de PhareSST. Pour plus d'informations, veuillez contacter pharesst@irsst.qc.ca.

Supervisor's Roles and Responsibilities in Preventing Prolonged Disability in Workers with Musculoskeletal Disorders

Iuliana Nastasia¹, Marie-France Coutu², Sarah Gaspard², Romain Rives², Jessica Dubé¹

Introduction

Even if many studies mention supervisors' role as important factor in preventing prolonged disability among workers after work-related musculoskeletal injuries (WMSD), few studies focus on supervisors' precise responsibilities in the return-to work (RTW) process and the conditions facilitating or impeding their activity (Kristman et al. 2017, Durand et al. 2014, Shaw et al. 2014).

Context

This study was a part of a larger project. The goal of the project was to elaborate guidelines for supervisors, and verify their feasibility and applicability in different workplaces from many sectors of activities in Québec.

Objectives

- Provide and describe supervisors' responsibilities in the RTW process.
- Describe the conditions facilitating or impeding supervisors' activity in the RTW process.

Method

A systematic search of three scientific data bases from 1995 to 2017 was performed. The databases the inclusion and exclusion criteria are presented in the flow chart below (Figure 1). Descriptive and thematic analyses were performed (Gibbs 2002, Landry 1997) in order to:

- Describe data and methods used in each article;
- Identify the essential activities, and describe actions/interactions, conditions associated with each activity;
- To identify facilitators and/or obstacles.

Results

- 19 publications (5 reviews, 2 reports, 1 practical guide, 11 empirical studies)
- 8 **essential activities** where the supervisor is involved in the RTW process
 - Contact the absent worker;
 - Assess the worker's situation and the work context;
 - o Offer, plan, and implement a useful solution;

¹Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail, Québec, CANADA; ²Université de Sherbrooke, Québec, CANADA;

- Welcome back the worker, integrate him back into the workplace, and adjust the solution;
- o Follow-up on how the RTW unfolds;
- Communicate with the worker after the RTW;
- o Collaborate with the team and support the worker during their RTW;
- o Coordinate all actions aiming for the worker's sustainable RTW.
- 22 **specific actions**, and interactions between the supervisor and the worker, as well as with other associated actors;

Conditions

To help supervisors properly perform their roles, support workers with MSD and accomplish sustainable RTW, organizations should consider:

- Recognizing the importance of supervisors' role in the RTW, and explicitly stating supervisors' responsibilities in clear procedures;
- Offering supervisors adequate support decisional latitude to implement adjustments and accommodations, appropriate training to develop better attitudes, and guidance to build up experience with the RTW process.

Conclusion

Two main challenges for organizations:

- Formalize in clear and unambiguous ways each party's roles and responsibilities for sustainable return to work;
- Gather knowledge, experience, and know-how regarding sustainable RTW.

References

- Durand MJ, Corbiere M, Coutu MF, Reinharz D, Albert V. A review of best work-absence management and return-to-work practices for workers with musculoskeletal or common mental disorders. Work. 2014;48:579–89.
- Gibbs GR. Qualitative Data Analysis: Explorations With Nvivo: Explorations with NVivo. 1st ed. Buckingham: Open University Press; 2002.
- Kristman V, Shaw W.S., Reguly P, Williams-Whitt K, Soklaridis S, Loisel P. Supervisor and organizational factors associated with supervisor support of job accommodations for low back injured workers. J Occup Rehabil . 2017; 27: 115.
- Shaw WS, Kristman VL, Williams-Whitt K, Soklaridis S, Huang Y-H, Côté P. The Job Accommodation Scale (JAS): psychometric evaluation of a new measure of employer support for temporary job modifications. J Occup Rehabil. 2014;24:755–765.

Acknowledgements

The present literature review, was funded by the Institut de recherche Robert Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail (IRSST), Québec, Canada.

Figure 1

