

Ceci est la version pré-publication, révisée par les pairs, de l'article suivant :

Triki, E. et Gauvin, C. (2021). Combined puncture and cutting of soft-coated fabrics by a pointed blade: Energy, force and stress failure criteria. *Journal of Industrial Textiles*, *51*(1), 110-133.

La version finale de l'article est disponible à https://doi.org/10.1177/1528083719873880.

Cet article peut être utilisé à des fins non commerciales.

**Avis :** L'IRSST encourage son personnel scientifique et tout chercheur dont il finance en tout ou en partie les travaux ou qui bénéficie de son programme de bourses à faire en sorte que les articles issus de ces travaux soient librement accessibles au plus tard un an après leur publication dans une revue savante.

https://www.irsst.qc.ca/Portals/0/upload/5-institut/politiques/Libre-acces.pdf

communications@irsst.qc.ca

This is the accepted manuscript peer reviewed version of the following article:

Triki, E., & Gauvin, C. (2021). Combined puncture and cutting of soft-coated fabrics by a pointed blade: Energy, force and stress failure criteria. *Journal of Industrial Textiles*, *51*(1), 110-133.

It is available in its final form at https://doi.org/10.1177/1528083719873880.

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes.

**Disclaimer:** The Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail (IRSST) encourages its scientific staff and all researchers whose work it funds either in whole or in part or who benefit from its scholarship program to ensure that the articles resulting from their work be made publicly accessible within one year of their publication in a scholarly journal.

https://www.irsst.gc.ca/Portals/0/upload/5-institut/politiques/Open-access.pdf

communications@irsst.qc.ca

1

Combined Puncture and Cutting of Soft-Coated Fabrics by a Pointed Blade:

2

**Energy, Force, and Stress Failure Criteria** 

3

# 4 Abstract

5 Soft-coated fabrics are widely used in engineering and protective applications. Puncture cutting by sharp-tipped objects is one of the most common failure modes of protective 6 gloves made of coated fabrics. In order to investigate the puncture-cutting process of soft-7 coated fabrics, we studied the mechanisms and mechanics of pointed-blade insertion into 8 specimens cut out from four protective gloves. Experimental and analytical analyses 9 showed that total energy and critical puncture-cutting force calculated analytically are both 10 able to predict the puncture-cutting resistance of soft-coated fabrics measured 11 experimentally. Total energy is obtained from the relationship between the puncture-12 cutting work and the created fracture area, while critical force is given by two analytical 13 models developed in work with soft elastomeric membranes. The components of the 14 critical puncture-cutting force are predicted analytically and then used to calculate the 15 compressive and shear loading stress components based on the contact surface between the 16 pointed blade tip and material. Since there is a linear relationship between the compressive 17 18 stress component and shear stress component, a modified linear strength criterion is proposed for puncture cutting of soft-coated fabrics by a pointed blade. Our stress-based 19 criterion connects the shear strength (in the 45° direction) and biaxial strengths (in the 20 21 course direction, 0°, and wale direction, 90°) to both compressive and shear loading stresses. The analytical and experimental results are consistent. This investigation can be 22 used as a guideline to evaluate the puncture cutting of soft-coated fabrics using an energy-23 24 based criterion, critical force-based criterion or stress-based criterion.

25 Keywords: puncture cutting, soft-coated fabrics, failure, criterion, stress



#### 27 **1. Introduction**

Soft-coated fabrics consist of two different materials: (1) strong, tough, stiff fabrics with high elastic modulus and (2) synthetic elastomers. A good combination of two such materials has properties not available in a single material [1]. Soft-coated fabrics are widely used in protective equipment, such as protective gloves. The common architecture of protective gloves usually consists of a knitted fabric coated with a soft elastomeric material. The combination of stiff and soft materials results in very strong, very tough composite materials [2].

35 Workers in occupations such as metalworking, food processing and industrial papermaking commonly wear protective gloves of this kind, as they are exposed to various cut and 36 37 puncture hazards. Failure of soft-coated fabric caused by an indenter or blade has not much been investigated. Some researchers have tested the puncture resistance of coated fabrics 38 using a rounded probe [3,4] or a flat-tipped cylindrical probe [5]. Specific puncture 39 40 mechanisms such as fiber stretching, breaking and delamination have been considered the main contributors to the puncture of an uncoated material by a rounded probe [6], but 41 Hassim et al. showed that all these mechanisms become insignificant in the puncturing of 42 43 coated fabrics, due to the effect of the coating layer. Furthermore, they showed that the coating layer restricts the deformation of the specimen. They observed a circular 44 deformation on the front face of the specimen, but only a small deformation on the back 45 46 face. Although there is very little information in the literature on the puncture and cutting mechanics of soft-coated fabrics, the failure mechanisms and mechanics of fabrics in 47 48 general have been widely investigated [6,7]. In the quasi-static puncture of high-strength 49 polyester yarns, the indenter experiences yarn slippage during penetration due to the



50 contact pressure [6], whereas the slice-push cutting of woven and knitted fabrics by a blade 51 shows that two types of friction control the critical cutting force: a macroscopic friction on 52 both sides of the blade and a sliding friction on the cutting edge of the blade [7]. Vu Thi et 53 al. applied the same cutting mechanics to fabric materials and elastomeric membranes to 54 investigate the force state. They showed that the critical cutting force is a result of the 55 pushing force and the slice friction force exerted by the cutting edge of the blade. In this 56 case, the critical force was related to the local effective shear strength of the interface.

57 To our knowledge, no analytical study has considered the puncture-cutting mechanisms 58 that occur during the insertion of an indenter into soft-coated fabrics. However, in an effort to better understand this combined pushing and shear loading, recent studies have focused 59 60 on modeling the puncture and/or cutting of soft isotropic solids. The failure behavior of isotropic material has been determined in terms of critical force [8,9,10], energy [11] or 61 stress [12,13,14]. Triki and Gauvin showed that the combined puncture and cutting of soft 62 63 elastomeric membranes by a pointed blade results from a combined loading of the compressive stress component ( $\sigma$ ) and the shear stress component ( $\tau$ ) at the cut edge of the 64 material [14]. They described the relationship between  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  using a linear stress-based 65 66 criterion to predict the failure strength corresponding to pointed-blade insertion into soft isotropic membranes (neoprene rubber) [14]. On the other hand, in the case of orthotropic 67 materials, such as soft-coated fabrics, subjected to complex loading, many classical 68 69 strength criteria, including the Yingying criterion [2], Tsai-Hill criterion [15,16], Yeh-Stratton criterion [17], Hashin criterion [18] and Norris criterion [19], have been used to 70 71 predict the tensile strength of materials. All these criteria are always composed of applied 72 stresses, shear strength and tensile strength along the principal axes of the fabric structure.



Furthermore, the tearing of soft-coated fabrics was also modeled by Triki et al. [20], who
proposed an energetic approach based on the Griffith theory [21].

The main objective of the work described here was to model the combined puncture cutting 75 76 of soft-coated fabrics with a view to proposing a fracture criterion. We extended our 77 analytical analysis of puncture cutting of soft isotropic materials in [14] to soft orthotropic 78 materials, evaluating puncture-cutting resistance through force measurement and energy calculation. Uniaxial tensile tests were carried out, as well, to measure the mechanical 79 properties of materials that could be involved during puncture-cutting tests. Then, we 80 81 modeled the critical puncture-cutting force using the stress state analysis that had been developed for pointed-blade insertion into soft elastomeric materials. Finally, we came up 82 with a stress-based criterion derived from our experimental results. 83

### **2.** Force state corresponding to pointed-blade insertion into soft-coated fabrics

In our recent paper on the puncture cutting of soft elastomeric membranes by a pointed blade [14], we analyzed the force field at the cut edge of the material (Figure 1). In that case, the force state at the cut edge is a combined loading of pushing and shear forces, and the material failure always occurs as the result of two applied forces: the pushing force component ( $F_P$ ) in the *z*-direction ( $e_z$ ) and the shear force component ( $F_C$ ) in the *x*-direction ( $e_x$ ).

91

92





94

95 Figure 1. Combined puncture-cutting test: Force state analysis and relationship between96 puncture and cutting force components.

Furthermore, stress state analysis makes it possible to define the stresses involved in the pointed-blade insertion into the elastomeric membrane. Building on the work of Deibel et al. [9], Triki and Gauvin have shown that the stress state is governed by contact pressure (p) from the pointed blade in the normal direction of the created fracture surface [14]. Hence, both force components,  $F_P$  and  $F_C$ , have been expressed as



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
103 \\
104 \\
105 \\
105 \\
106 \\
106 \\
107 \\
107 \\
108 \\
109 \\
\end{array}
\left\{ \begin{array}{c}
F_{p} = 2A(\{S\})^{T}\{e_{z}\} = u_{h}u_{v}p \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{1+\zeta^{2}}} \\ \frac{\mu\zeta}{\sqrt{1+\zeta^{2}}} \\ \frac{\mu\zeta}{\sqrt{1+\zeta^{2}}} \\ 1 \\ 109 \\ \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

$$(1)$$

110 where *A* is the created fracture area;  $u_v$  is the vertical depth penetration and  $u_h$  is the 111 horizontal cutting length;  $c_I$ ,  $c_{II}$  and  $c_{III}$  are fitting parameters;  $\mu$  is the friction coefficient 112 in the cutting edge;  $\zeta$  is the puncture-cut ratio ( $\zeta = \tan \alpha$ ); *L* is the contact length; *t* is the 113 material thickness; *v* is the Poisson's ratio; and *Kc* is the critical stress intensity factor that 114 can also be given as

$$115 K_C = \sqrt{EG_C} (4)$$

where *E* is the Young's modulus and  $G_C$  is the fracture toughness of the material.

To estimate the pressure, *p*, Deibel et al. [9] found that the three stress intensity factorscould be calculated as

$$119 K_I = c_I p \sqrt{L} (5)$$

$$120 K_{II} = c_{II} \tau_{yz} \sqrt{L} (6)$$

121 
$$K_{III} = c_{III} \tau_{yx} \frac{L}{t}$$
(7)



where  $K_I$ ,  $K_{II}$  and  $K_{III}$  are the stress intensity factors and  $\tau_{yx}$  and  $\tau_{yz}$  are the shear stresses acting at the cut edge of material [14].

As shown in Figure 1, the critical puncture-cutting force,  $F_{P/C}$ , was predicted from the above force components as

126 
$$F_{P/C} = \sqrt{F_P^2 + F_C^2}$$
 (8)

127 Moreover, using an energy-based approach, Triki and Gauvin also predicted, in [14], the 128 value of  $F_{P/C}$  as

129 
$$F_{P/C} = \frac{G_{Total}t}{2[1+\zeta^2]^{1/2}}$$
(9)

130 where  $G_{Total}$  is the total puncture-cutting energy applied by a pointed blade.

In order to further examine the rationale behind our two models (Eqs. (8) and (9)) and to achieve an overall understanding of the main role of the pushing and shear forces, we extended these two models to the puncture cutting of soft-coated fabrics. To this end, we performed experimental tests on soft-coated fabrics and measured the total puncturecutting energy using Poisson's ratio and Young's modulus as required in Eq. (3).

136 **3. Material and experimental tests** 

# 137 **3.1. Material**

138 Four commercially available protective gloves made of a knitted fabric with rubber-dipped

- palms were used. The coating material and fabric construction are listed in Table 1. The
- specimens for the mechanical tests were cut out from the palms of the gloves.



|                                                                            | Materials                          |                                                                  |                  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Protective gloves                                                          | Coating                            | Liner                                                            | <i>i</i> (IIIII) |
| $\underline{\mathbf{A}}: \underline{\mathrm{HyFlex}^{\circledast} 11-900}$ | Nitrile rubber                     | Knitted nylon                                                    | 1                |
| B: 80-813 PowerFlex                                                        | Neoprene foam rubber               | Knitted Kevlar®                                                  | 1.3              |
| <u>C</u> : <u>ActivArmr<sup>®</sup> 97-100</u>                             | Neoprene rubber and nitrile rubber | Knitted Kevlar <sup>®</sup> ,<br>nylon, polyester and<br>spandex | 1.1              |
| D: Superior Glove<br>S13SXPU                                               | Polyurethane rubber                | Knitted Dyneema®                                                 | 1.3              |

141 Table 1. Material composition and fabric construction of protective gloves studied.



### 142 **3.2.** Combined puncture-cutting test

The materials' puncture-cutting performance was measured by driving a pointed blade into 143 the specimens. Each specimen was positioned on a soft neoprene rubber support (25 mm 144 145 thick and 42 Shore A) coated with a silver/silver chloride layer (Ag/AgCl ink, Sigma-Aldrich, U.S.A.) as a conductive substrate (Figure 2a). A pointed blade was fixed to the 146 147 crosshead of a universal testing machine (ADMET Inc., Norwood, MA) equipped with a 25 lb load cell. The puncture-cutting test consists in lowering the pointed blade into the 148 specimen at a crosshead speed of 30 mm/min. Pointed blade displacement and force were 149 150 recorded (Figure 2b). The conductive layer of Ag/AgCl was coupled with the blade to close an open circuit that detects the full penetration of the blade through the specimen, which 151 152 occurs as soon as the blade tip comes into contact with the Ag/AgCl layer. The critical 153 puncture-cutting force required to puncture and cut a soft-coated fabric corresponds to the force measured at the full penetration of the pointed blade. The critical force and total 154 155 puncture-cutting work ( $U_{Total}$ ) were obtained for three specimens (i.e., three replicates) of each glove material punctured by a pointed blade at various cutting-edge angles ( $20^{\circ} \le \alpha \le$ 156  $80^{\circ}$ ). The fracture surface area (A) was estimated from the inserted part of the pointed blade 157 158 shape at full penetration and is given as

159  $A = t^2/2tan\alpha$ 

(10)





Figure 2. (a) Experimental setup of puncture-cutting test and (b) puncture-cutting force
vs. pointed-blade displacement curve for soft-coated fabric specimen.

**3.3. Uniaxial tensile test** 

Uniaxial tests were carried out to measure the material's tensile strength and Young's modulus. Specimens measuring  $200 \text{ mm} \times 25 \text{ mm}$  were cut out from the palms of protective gloves in three off-axial directions: the wale direction ( $\psi = 90^{\circ}$ ), course direction  $(\psi = 0^{\circ})$  and shear direction  $(\psi = 45^{\circ})$  (see Table 1). The specimens were clamped in the opposing clamping jaws of an MTS-Alliance tensile machine equipped with an automated data acquisition system (Figure 3a) and the two ends were pulled apart at a constant crosshead speed of 500 mm/min until the specimen ruptured. For each direction, three specimens were tested, and the strain-stress curve was recorded (Figure 3b).





Figure 3. Uniaxial tensile test: (a) Dimension of tensile test specimen and (b) typical curvesof stress vs. strain obtained in three directions.

# 180 **3.4. Poisson's ratio test**

In order to measure the Poisson's ratio, three rectangles (ABCD, CDEF and ABEF) were selected in the 200 mm × 25 mm specimen, which was loaded at 500 mm/min in uniaxial stress (Figure 4a). Many digital photos of the loaded specimen were recorded at successive strain values. The photos were then imported into ImagJ to estimate the displacement in length ( $\Delta l$ ) and width ( $\Delta b$ ) of all three rectangles (Figure 4b). Extension ( $\varepsilon_i$ ) and contraction ( $\varepsilon_i$ ) were calculated at various steps of deformation, i = 5%, 10%, 50%, 100%, etc., using Eqs. (11) and (12), respectively.

188 
$$\varepsilon_i = \frac{\Delta l_i}{l_0}.100\% \tag{11}$$

189 
$$s_i = \frac{\Delta b_i}{b_0} .100\%$$
 (12)



190 The average of the transverse strains  $(\bar{s}_i)$ , corresponding to the contraction of AB, CD 191 and EF, and axial strains  $(\bar{\varepsilon}_i)$ , corresponding to the extension of AC, CE and AE, were 192 used to obtain the Poisson's ratio of the coated fabric at a deformation *i* as



Figure 4. Uniaxial tensile test of coated fabric: (a) Undeformed specimen and b) axial
displacement (Δ*l*) and lateral displacement (Δ*b*) of tested specimen.

204 **4. Results and discussion** 

# **4.1. Puncture-cutting mechanisms of soft-coated fabrics**

To understand the failure of soft-coated fabrics caused by a pointed blade (Figures 5a and b), it was necessary to investigate the force-pointed blade displacement curves obtained from the three replicates (Figure 5c). As loading begins, a small material deformation is enough to initiate crack nucleation, due to the blade's acute tip and its cutting edge (Figure 5b). As shown in Figure 5c, the nucleation process required a small applied force  $(\leq 0.5 \text{ N})$ . The material shows low elastic deformation resistance. Once the pointed blade

smoothly penetrates the specimen (Figures 5d and e), the applied force gradually increases 212 until it reaches a maximum value, the critical puncture-cutting force,  $F_{P/C}$  (Figure 5b).  $F_{P/C}$ 213 corresponds to the full penetration of the pointed blade into the specimen. Furthermore, 214 215 during the pointed-blade insertion, the elastic deformation resistance of the material is 216 found to increase remarkably. This trend could be associated with the friction between the 217 pointed blade and material, which does not happen during crack nucleation. In the linear part of these curves, the measured force is therefore a result of fracture and friction 218 mechanisms. Triki et al. found that the puncture-cutting energy of soft elastomeric 219 220 membranes by a pointed blade includes a friction contribution of over 60% [22]. At the critical puncture-cutting force, the material deformation reaches a maximum value and the 221 pointed blade penetrates all the way through the specimen. Deep penetration by the pointed 222 223 blade involves a radial expansion of the material, which is highly dependent on the cuttingedge angle ( $\alpha$ ) (Figures 5e and f). Insertion of a pointed blade having a small  $\alpha$  gives rise 224 to high radial material deformation. The curves given as an example in Figure 5c show that 225 the pointed blade penetrates the soft-coated fabric smoothly and gradually, and that 226 227 behavior of the material is uniform until full penetration by the pointed blade is achieved. 228 It thus appears that the knitted fabric on the underside of the specimen does not contribute 229 to the puncture-cutting process.





Figure 5. Puncture-cutting test of soft-coated fabric by pointed blade: (a) Unpunctured
specimen, (b) crack nucleation step, (c) force-blade displacement curve recorded during
insertion process for three replicates, and (d), (e) and (f) typical penetration steps.

### 4.2. Energy-based approach of combined puncture and cutting test

In this section, total puncture-cutting energy,  $G_{Total}$ , was calculated using a procedure outlined in our previous articles [11,14]. According to this procedure,  $G_{Total}$  is given by

236 
$$G_{Total} = -\frac{\partial U_{Total}}{\partial A} \approx \frac{\Delta U_{Total}}{\Delta A}$$
 (14)



where  $\Delta U_{Total}$  is the change in the total puncture-cutting work corresponding to the change in fracture surface area,  $\Delta A$ , which was measured for each  $\alpha$  as detailed in [14].

239 The puncture-cutting tests on the four protective gloves were carried out for various 240 cutting-edge angles. Figure 6 displays the variation of  $U_{Total}$  as a function of the created surface of the puncture-cutting crack area (A) for the four protective gloves. For each glove, 241 242 the puncture-cutting work appears to be linearly proportional to the fracture surface area. This linearity indicates that the proposed total puncture-cutting energy defined by Eq. (14) 243 seems to be valid for those composite materials.  $G_{Total}$  is given by the slope of the regression 244 245 line in Figure 6. It is important to note that the coefficient of variation in all experimental tests (puncture cutting and tensile tests) was less than 9%. 246





Figure 6. Variation of puncture-cutting work ( $U_{Total}$ ) as a function of crack surface area (A) for (a) HyFlex<sup>®</sup> 11-900, (b) 80-813 PowerFlex, (c) ActivArmr<sup>®</sup> 97-100 and (d) Superior Glove S13SXPU.

253 **4.3. Uniaxial and biaxial test results** 

Uniaxial tensile tests were conducted to measure the mechanical properties of soft-coated fabrics and then predict the biaxial tensile properties that may be involved during the insertion of a pointed-blade, such as tensile strength in the wale and course directions. After that, the values of these properties were used as described in section 4.4 to predict puncturecutting behavior.

259 The values obtained for uniaxial tensile strength ( $\sigma_U$ ), shear strength (S) and Young's

260 modulus (*E*) are presented in Table 2. It can be seen in Figure 3b that *E* is almost the same

for the three loading directions  $(0^{\circ}, 45^{\circ} \text{ and } 90^{\circ})$ .

Table 2. Values of tensile and shear strengths ( $\sigma_U$  and S) and Young's modulus (E) of

263 four protective gloves in three off-axial directions.

|                     |                      |                     |                     | 264                     |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
|                     |                      | Protecti            | ve gloves           |                         |
|                     | <u>A</u> :<br>11-900 | <u>B:</u><br>80-813 | <u>C:</u><br>97-100 | <u>D</u> 265<br>S13SXPU |
| Off-axial angle (°) | $\sigma_U$ (MPa)     |                     |                     |                         |
| 0                   | 5.05                 | 15.03               | 7.22                | 12.64                   |
| 90                  | 7.5                  | 14.87               | 7                   | 23.05                   |
| S (MPa)             |                      |                     |                     |                         |
| 45                  | 6.6                  | 9.23                | 7.03                | 10.4                    |
| <i>E</i> (MPa)      |                      |                     |                     |                         |
| 0, 45 and 90        | 6.4                  | 6.1                 | 5.47                | 6.06                    |
|                     |                      | •                   | •                   | 269                     |

270 The experimental results for the four protective gloves given in Table 2 and Figure 6 reveal

that there appears to be no correlation between  $G_{Total}$  and  $\sigma_U$ , S or E. For example, the



272 gloves with high  $G_{Total}$  did not necessarily perform well in terms of uniaxial tensile 273 properties. Hence, the uniaxial tensile test results in the three off-axial directions cannot 274 predict the puncture-cutting behaviors of soft-coated fabrics. However, developing a 275 relationship between these uniaxial tensile properties may predict the behavior of material 276 during pointed-blade insertion, as has been done in the case of woven fabric subjected to 277 complex loading [2].

278 Poisson's ratio is one of the fundamental properties of all structural materials, such as softcoated fabrics. For that reason, we used it to predict the biaxial tensile properties and then 279 280 puncture-cutting behaviors of soft-coated fabrics. The average values of Poisson's ratio were obtained for the three off-axial directions (three replicates each) at various stages of 281 282 specimen deformation, illustrated in Figure 7. At the beginning of the uniaxial tensile test, more axial than lateral extension of the specimen is observed, due to the hyperelastic 283 behavior of the coating material (Figure 7). The increase in the specimen's axial extension 284 285 leads to a gradual increase in Poisson's ratio until maximum values are reached at an extension of around 100%. At that point, the coated fabrics show maximum lateral strain: 286 the contraction of the specimen seems stable, while its extension progresses. Consequently, 287 288 the Poisson's ratio value decreases. As shown in Figure 7, the Poisson's ratio-strain curve 289 shows a similar tendency in the three off-axial directions tested for all four protective gloves. The maximum Poisson's ratio values presented in Table 3 were used as described 290 291 in section 5.4 to predict the critical puncture-cutting force of soft-coated fabrics, as required in Eq. (8). 292





Figure 7. Typical curves of Poisson's ratio vs. strain obtained in three off-axial directions, 295 0°, 45° and 90°, for (a) HyFlex<sup>®</sup> 11-900, (b) 80-813 PowerFlex, (c) ActivArmr<sup>®</sup> 97-100 296 and (d) Superior Glove S13SXPU. 297

Table 3. Maximum Poisson's ratio values for four protective gloves obtained in three off-298 avial directions 20Q

| 299 | axiai | directions. |  |
|-----|-------|-------------|--|
|     |       |             |  |

|                            | Protective gloves    |                     |                     |                      |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                            | <u>A</u> :<br>11-900 | <u>B:</u><br>80-813 | <u>C:</u><br>97-100 | <u>D:</u><br>S13SXPU |
| <b>Off-axial angle</b> (°) | V <sub>max</sub>     |                     |                     |                      |
| 0                          | 0.68                 | 0.84                | 0.42                | 0.57                 |
| 45                         | 0.84                 | 0.84                | 0.8                 | 0.69                 |
| 90                         | 0.58                 | 0.98                | 0.97                | 1.01                 |

301 The biaxial tensile strengths of soft-coated knitted fabric in the wale direction ( $\sigma_1$ , corresponding to 90°) and course direction ( $\sigma_2$ , corresponding to 0°) were predicted by 302



means of the uniaxial tensile strength using equations proposed by Ambroziak [23] forcoated woven fabric:

305 
$$\sigma_1 = \frac{F_1(\varepsilon_1)}{1 - v_{12}v_{21}} (\varepsilon_1 + v_{21}.\varepsilon_2)$$
(15)

306 
$$\sigma_2 = \frac{F_2(\varepsilon_2)}{1 - v_{12}v_{21}} (\varepsilon_2 + v_{12}.\varepsilon_1)$$
 (16)

where  $F_1$  ( $\varepsilon_1$ ) and  $F_2$  ( $\varepsilon_2$ ) are respectively the wale and course longitudinal stiffnesses 307 estimated on the basis of the uniaxial tensile test, and  $v_{12}$  and  $v_{21}$  are the Poisson's ratios. 308 309 Using the results of Poisson's ratio illustrated in Table 3, Eqs. (15) and (16) allow us to plot typical strain-stress curves for those two directions (Figure 8), as detailed in [23]. The 310 maximum values of the predicted biaxial tensile stress corresponding to the moment of a 311 specimen's failure are collected in Table 4. As shown in Figure 8, no similarity is observed 312 between the uniaxial data and predicted biaxial results. The predicted biaxial results were 313 314 used as described in section 5.5 to develop a new fracture criterion.



Figure 8. Typical predicted curves of stress vs strain of biaxial tensile test corresponding
to (a) wale direction (90°) and (b) course direction (0°) obtained for PowerFlex 80-813.



|                     |                      | Prote               | ctive gloves        |                      |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                     | <u>A</u> :<br>11-900 | <u>B:</u><br>80-813 | <u>C:</u><br>97-100 | <u>D:</u><br>S13SXPU |
| Off-axial angle (°) |                      | Biaxial tensi       | ile strength (MPa)  |                      |
| 0                   | 6.31                 | 22.22               | 8.5                 | 16.53                |
| 90                  | 15.3                 | 36.85               | 15.59               | 29.4                 |

Table 4. Values of biaxial tensile strength stress of four protective gloves obtained at twooff-axial directions.

### 320 4.4. Force-based approach

In this section, we examine the two analytical models of critical puncture-cutting force, 321 322 which were developed for soft elastomeric membranes and based on energetic analysis (Eq. (9)) [10] and stress analysis (Eq. (8)) [14]. In Eqs. (8) and (9),  $F_{P/C}$  depends not only 323 324 on total energy (Figure 6), Poisson's ratio (Table 3) and Young's modulus (Table 2), but 325 also on fracture energy,  $G_C$ , (fracture toughness) and the three fitting parameters. As mentioned in section 5.2, it is assumed that the contribution of the fabric structure during 326 327 the puncture-cutting test is negligible due to the blade's acute tip. Therefore,  $G_C$  can be estimated to be about 40% of  $G_{Total}$ , as it is the case for soft elastomeric materials reported 328 329 by Triki et al. [11]. The same values of the fitting parameters ( $c_I = 0.055$ ,  $c_{II} = 0.55$  and  $c_{III} = 0.8$ ) estimated for puncture cutting of soft elastomeric membrane were then used for 330 soft-coated fabrics. 331

Figure 9 provides a graphical representation of the variation in critical puncture-cutting force as a function of cutting-edge angle, which was obtained from experimental data, and our two analytical models of the stress-based approach (Eq. (8)) and energy-based approach (Eq. (9)). Our results indicate that the two proposed models are good predictors of the puncture-cutting resistance of soft-coated fabrics by pointed blades.





Figure 9. Comparison of predicted data (Eqs. (8) and (9)) and experimental data for four
protective gloves, (a) HyFlex<sup>®</sup> 11-900, (b) 80-813 PowerFlex, (c) ActivArmr<sup>®</sup> 97-100, and
(d) Superior Glove S13SXPU.

The decrease in critical puncture-cutting forces ( $F_{P/C}$ ) with cutting-edge angle ( $\alpha$ ), seen in 342 Figure 9, can be explained by studying how  $F_P$  and  $F_C$  values change with  $\alpha$ . The influence 343 344 of  $\alpha$  can be clearly seen in the force profiles shown in Figure 10. For the four protective gloves of various thicknesses, the predicted profiles of the pushing force component 345 (Eq. (1)) and shear force component (Eq. (2)) show the same characteristic behavior with 346 the change in the cutting-edge angle. Our results show that pushing force decreases with 347 cutting-edge angle, while shear force increases until it reaches a maximum (when  $\alpha \approx 45^{\circ}$ ) 348 349 and then decreases. Although this shear force profile was unexpected, it is consistent with 350 experimental data obtained by slicing soft gel by a wire [13].





Figure 10. Typical curves of predicted pushing force  $(F_P)$  and shear force  $(F_C)$  as a function of cutting-edge angle for (a) HyFlex<sup>®</sup> 11-900, (b) 80-813 PowerFlex, (c) ActivArmr<sup>®</sup> 97-100 and (d) Superior Glove S13SXPU.

# 356 **4.5.** New stress-based failure criterion

In this section, we propose a linear stress-based criterion for pointed-blade insertion into 357 358 soft-coated fabrics using the analysis developed for soft elastomeric membranes detailed in [14]. The compressive stress ( $\sigma$ ) and shear stress ( $\tau$ ) acting at the cut edge of the material 359 were calculated from  $F_P$  and  $F_S$ , respectively. As they established a linear relationship 360 between  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , Triki and Gauvin proposed a linear strength criterion for insertion of 361 pointed blades into soft elastomeric membranes [14]. The blade's acute tip has a low 362 coefficient of friction ( $\mu \ll 1$ ), so the effect of the fabric structure can be neglected in the 363 puncture-cutting process. We therefore took our solution of the contact surface developed 364



for pointed blades and elastomeric membranes and applied it here to soft-coated fabrics. In
[14], we estimated the contact surface corresponding to the pushing force components as

$$367 \qquad A_{eff}^{pushing} = Kt^{m+1} \tag{17}$$

where *K* and *m* are constants to be determined, and *t* is the maximum deflection of the material by a pointed blade. The two parameters, *K* and *m*, were determined in the extreme puncture-cutting cases:  $\alpha \to 0$  ( $\tau \to 0$ ) and  $\alpha \to 90$  ( $\sigma \to 0$ ) [14].

The effective shear contact area,  $A_{eff}^{shear}$ , corresponding to the shear force was estimated in [14] as

373 
$$A_{eff}^{shear} = \frac{t.e}{\tan \alpha}$$
 (18)

where *e* is the contact width between the material and cutting edge, estimated using digital photo analysis. We applied a thin white layer of paint to the upper face of the specimen and waited for 5 to 10 minutes until the test surface was dry. After the pointed-blade had been inserted and retracted, a digital photo that included the fracture process zone was then recorded and analyzed in ImageJ in order to estimate the contact width, *e* (Figure 11).



Figure 11. Digital photo of punctured specimen showing fracture process zone.



379

380

383 After calculating the contact areas from Eq. (17) and Eq. (18) and considering the pushing force and shear force, the compressive stress ( $\sigma$ ) and shear stress ( $\tau$ ) components were 384 calculated. Figure 12 shows the variation of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  as a function of  $\alpha$ . Interestingly, when 385 386  $\alpha$  is small, the failure of the membrane is dominated by compressive stress, while at high  $\alpha$  values, shear stress dominates; in other words,  $\tau$  becomes maximum (Figure 12a). The 387 388 results illustrate that puncture cutting of soft-coated fabrics involves a pushing/sheardependent loading that indicates mixed failure modes [14]. The synergistic variation 389 between the compressive stress component and shear stress component, at  $0^{\circ} < \alpha < 90^{\circ}$ , 390 391 allows a linear relationship,  $\sigma$ - $\tau$  (Figure 12b).



Figure 12. (a) Typical curve of applied stresses vs. cutting-edge angle and (b) typical curve
of relationship between compressive stress component and shear stress component for softcoated fabrics.

<sup>396</sup> Due to the linearity between  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  discussed above, the linear strength criterion used in <sup>397</sup> combined loading of soft elastomeric membranes [14] and composite materials [24,25] can <sup>398</sup> be adopted here. Since the behavior of soft-coated fabrics is anisotropic, the linear strength <sup>399</sup> criterion,  $\sigma$ - $\tau$ , is modified in order to take into account the material strengths corresponding



to the wale direction ( $\psi = 90^\circ$ ), course direction ( $\psi = 0^\circ$ ) and shear direction ( $\psi = 45^\circ$ ). The new linear relationship is therefore described as

402 
$$\frac{\tau}{S} + \frac{\sigma}{(X.Y)^{0.5}} = 1$$
 (19)

where *X*, *Y* and *S* are the material strengths corresponding to the course, wale and sheardirections, respectively.

By predicting X and Y (Table 4) and measuring S (Table 2), it is possible to plot the predicted shear stress as a function of compressive stress (Figure 13). As shown in Figure 13, the proposed criterion describes well the fracture behavior generated in combined loading of compressive and shear stresses that occurs at various cutting-edge angles.





Figure 13. Comparison between experimental data and prediction data from linear strength
criterion of (a) HyFlex<sup>®</sup> 11-900, (b) 80-813 PowerFlex, (c) ActivArmr<sup>®</sup> 97-100 and
(d) Superior Glove S13SXPU.

#### 415 **5.** Conclusions

Experimental and modeling investigations were conducted with a view to proposing a 416 stress-based criterion for the puncture cutting of soft-coated fabric by a pointed blade. We 417 418 focused on the mechanisms and mechanics of the puncture-cutting process. The 419 experimental results show that the process of inserting a pointed blade into a soft-coated 420 fabric involves the material's stiffness and toughness, as well as the friction between pointed blade and material. However, due to its structural design, the fabric support on the 421 422 back of the specimen does not make any contribution during the insertion process. We also 423 found that the puncture-cutting process generates a high local material deformation, which 424 involves the mechanical properties in the three off-axial directions: wale, course and shear. 425 Thus, modeling of the stress field in pointed-blade insertion into soft-coated fabrics should 426 take into account those properties, and particularly biaxial tensile strength. For that reason, 427 uniaxial tensile strength, Poisson's ratio, Young's modulus and material deformation were 428 measured to predict the biaxial strengths in the course and wale directions. Two analytical 429 models of energy and critical force corresponding to puncture cutting of soft elastomeric 430 membranes were used successfully to develop a new stress-based criterion for puncture-431 cutting resistance of soft-coated fabrics. In the analytical model, the critical force  $(F_{P/C})$  is generated by two force components: pushing and shear. From these two forces, the 432 433 compressive stress component ( $\sigma$ ) and shear stress component ( $\tau$ ) are calculated using analytical and experimental results involving the contact surface between the material and 434



the pointed blade. Because there is a linear relationship between  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , a modified linear strength criterion was derived from the stress criterion that had been developed for soft elastomeric materials. The predicted and experimental values were consistent, suggesting that puncture-cut resistance of protective materials can be evaluated by measuring the stresses. The results also showed that the biaxial strengths, which involve the deformation and rigidity of the material, have an important effect on the puncture-cutting process.

### 441 **6.** Acknowledgements

442 The authors would like to thank the Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en
443 sécurité du travail (IRSST) for its financial support of this work.

# 444 **7. References**

- 445 1. Ashby MF. Hybrids to fill holes in material property space. *Philos Mag* 2005; 85:
  446 3235–3257. DOI:10.1080/14786430500079892.
- Yingying Z, Xiaoguang S, Qilin Z et al. Fracture failure analysis and strength criterion
  for PTFE-coated woven fabrics. *J Compos Mater 2015*; 49(12): 1409–1421. DOI:
  10.1177/0021998314534706.
- 450 3. Hassim N, Ahmad MR, Ahmad WYW et al. Puncture resistance of natural rubber latex
  451 unidirectional coated fabrics. *J Ind Text* 2011; 42(2): 118–131.
- 452 4. Ahmad MR, Hassim N, Ahmad WYW et al. Quasi-static puncture resistance of
- 453 unidirectional coated fabric. In: 2012 IEEE Symposium on Business, Engineering and
- 454 *Industrial Applications*, Bandung, Indonesia, September 23–26, 2012, pp. 468–472.



- 455 5. Wang P, Zhang Y and Sun B. Tear and puncture behavior of flexible composites. In:
  456 *18th International Conference on Composite Materials*, Jeju Island, Korea,
  457 August 21–26, 2011, pp. 21–26.
- 458 6. Wang QS, Sun RJ, Tian X et al. Quasi-static puncture resistance behaviors of high459 strength polyester fabric for soft body armor. *Res Phy* 2016; 6: 554–560. DOI:
  460 10.1016/j.rinp.2016.08.018.
- Vu Thi BN, Vu-Khanh T and Lara J. Mechanics and mechanism of cut resistance of
  protective materials. *Theor Appl Fract Mech* 2009; 52: 7–13.
- 463 8. Atkins AG, Xu X and Jeronimidis G. Cutting, by 'pressing and slicing' of thin floppy
  464 slices of materials illustrated by experiments on cheddar cheese and salami. *J Mater*465 *Sci* 2004; 39: 2761–2766. DOI: <u>10.1023/B:JMSC.0000021451.17182.86</u>.
- 466 9. Deibel K, Raemy C and Wegener K. Modeling slice-push cutting forces of a sheet
  467 stack based on fracture mechanics. *Eng Fract Mech* 2014; 124-125: 234—247. DOI:
- 468 <u>10.1016/j.engfracmech.2014.04.029</u>.
- 10. Triki E and Gauvin C. Analytical and experimental investigation of puncture-cut
  resistance of soft membranes. Submitted to *Mech Mater* 2018.
- 11. Triki E, Nguyen-Tri P, Gauvin C et al. Combined puncture and cutting of elastomer
  membranes: A fracture energy approach. *J Appl Polym Sci* 2017; 134: 44945. DOI:
  10.1002/app.44945.



| 474 | 12. McCarthy CT, Annaidh A and Gilchrist MD. On the sharpness of straight edge blades |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 475 | in cutting soft solids: Part II - Analysis of blade geometry. Eng Fract Mech 2010;    |
| 476 | 77(3): 437–451.                                                                       |

- 477 13. Reyssat E, Tallinen T, Le Merrer M et al. Slicing softly with shear. *Phys Rev Lett*478 2012; 109: 244301.
- 479 14. Triki E and Gauvin C. Stress state analysis in combined puncture-cutting of soft
  480 materials and tension-shear fracture criterion. Submitted to *Eng Fail Anal* 2018.
- 481 15. Hill R. *The mathematical theory of plasticity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1950.
- 482 16. Tsai SW. *Strength & life of composites*. Composites Design Group, Stanford, 2008.
- 483 17. Yeh HY and Kim CH. The Yeh-Stratton criterion for composite materials. *J Compos*484 *Mater* 1994; 28: 926–939.
- 18. Hashin Z. Failure criteria for unidirectional fiber composites. *J Appl Mech* 1980; 47:
  329–334.
- 19. Norris CB. Strength of orthotropic materials subjected to combined stresses. Misc.
  Pub FPL-1816. Madison, WI: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest
  Products Laboratory, 1962.
- 490 20. Triki E, Arrieta C, Boukehili H et al. Tear behavior of polyester-based coated textiles
- 491 after thermo-oxidative aging. *Polym Compos* 2012; 33(6): 1007–1017. DOI:
  492 10.1002/pc.22227



- 493 21. Griffith AA. Phenomena of rupture and flow in solids. *Philos Trans R Soc London,*494 *Ser.A* 1921; 221(582–593): 163–198. DOI: 10.1098/rsta.1921.0006.
- 22. Triki E, Nguyen-Tri P, Azaiez M et al. Combined puncture/cutting of elastomer
  membranes by pointed blades: Characterization of mechanisms. *J Appl Polym Sci*2015; 132(26), 42150. DOI: 10.1002/app.42150.
- 498 23. Ambroziak A. Mechanical properties of polyester coated fabric subjected to biaxial
  499 loading. *J Mater Civ Eng* 2015; 27(11): 04015012. DOI: <u>10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-</u>
  500 5533.0001265.
- 501 24. Kintscher M, Kärger L, Wetzel A et al. Stiffness and failure behaviour of folded
  502 sandwich cores under combined transverse shear and compression. *Composites*503 *Part A* 2007; 38: 1288–1295. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.compositesa.2006.11.008</u>.
- 50425. Petras A and Sutcliffe MPF. Indentation failure analysis of sandwich beams. Compos
- 505 *Struct* 2000; 50: 311–318. DOI: <u>10.1016/S0263-8223(00)00122-7</u>

